

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
ADDENDUM UPDATE**

**PREFERRED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY (SMF) &
FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES
AND
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY UPDATE (HRSU)**

**US 301 (SR 41) from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida**

**ETDM Number: 14194
WPI Segment Number: 255796-1**

Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

July 2023

**CULTURAL RESOURCE ASSESSMENT SURVEY
ADDENDUM UPDATE**

**PREFERRED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY (SMF) &
FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION (FPC) SITES
AND
HISTORIC RESOURCES SURVEY UPDATE (HRSU)**

**US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida**

**ETDM Number: 14194
Work Program Item (WPI) Segment Number: 255796-1**

Prepared for:

**Florida Department of Transportation
District Seven
11201 North McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456**

Prepared by:

**Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A
Sarasota, Florida 34240**

In association with:

**AIM Engineering & Surveying, Inc.
3802 Corporex Park Drive
Tampa, Florida 33619**

July 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. INTRODUCTION.....	1
2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION.....	5
3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING.....	5
4. PREHISTORIC AND HISTORIC OVERVIEWS.....	10
5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND CONSIDERATIONS	10
6. SURVEY METHODS.....	15
7. SURVEY RESULTS.....	16
8. CONCLUSIONS.....	41
9. BIBLIOGRAPHY	42

APPENDIX A: SHPO Correspondence

APPENDIX B: Previous Historic Resource Results within the APE (2017 and 2021)

APPENDIX C: Florida Master Site File Forms

APPENDIX D: Typical Section between Stacy Road and SR 56

APPENDIX E: Survey Log

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1. Location of the pond sites along the US 301 corridor in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. Pond sites examined during this survey are noted in green.....	4
Figure 2. Soil types within the APE.....	7
Figure 3. Soil types within the APE.....	8
Figure 4. Soil types within the APE.....	9
Figure 5. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.....	11
Figure 6. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.....	12
Figure 7. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.....	13
Figure 8. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.....	20
Figure 9. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE and newly recorded archaeological site.....	21
Figure 10. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.....	22
Figure 11. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.....	23
Figure 12. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.....	24
Figure 13. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.....	27
Figure 14. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.....	28
Figure 15. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.....	29
Figure 16. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.....	30
Figure 17. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.....	31
Figure 18. Location of the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group and its two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172).	32
Figure 19. Location of two inaccessible ca. 1973 historic resources.....	40

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Township, Range, and Section coordinates for APE.	6
Table 2.	Changes to the SMF/FPC sites; orange shading represents ponds that were tested. .	16
Table 3.	Archaeological field survey results.....	17
Table 4.	Newly identified historic resources within the US 301 APE.....	26
Table 5.	Historic Building Complex Resource Groups within the US 301 APE.....	40

LIST OF PHOTOS

Photo 1.	Looking northwest at SMF 3A.	6
Photo 2.	Looking south at SMF 6C.....	6
Photo 3.	Looking west at SMF 7A.....	6
Photo 4.	Looking north at SMF 9C.....	6
Photo 5.	Looking north at SMF 10B.....	6
Photo 6.	Limestone and gravel fill noted in SMF 3A.	17
Photo 7.	Soil conditions of SMF 6C.	17
Photo 8.	Soil conditions of SMF 7A.	18
Photo 9.	Soil conditions of SMF 9C.	18
Photo 10.	Soil conditions of SMF 10 B.	18
Photo 11.	Site 8HI15394, facing east.....	18
Photo 12.	Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), looking north-northeast.	34
Photo 13.	Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172), looking northwest.	35
Photo 14.	Masonry Vernacular style building (8HI15452) located at 9812 Rockhill Road, looking north.....	36
Photo 15.	Masonry Vernacular style building (8HI15454) located at 11931 N US 301, looking southeast.	36
Photo 16.	Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15456) located at 14016 N US 301, looking northwest.	37
Photo 17.	Mobile home with no style (8HI15455) located at 12073 Emory Oak Loop, looking east.....	38
Photo 18.	US 301 over Flint Creek (FDOT 100951) (8HI15445), looking north.....	38
Photo 19.	Photo 15. US 301 over Flint Creek relief (FDOT 100052) (8HI15446), looking northeast.....	39

1. INTRODUCTION

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for US Highway 301 (US 301)/State Road (SR) 41 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to evaluate roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (SR 582) in Hillsborough County to SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The study involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway and includes Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) sites (referred to as pond sites) (**Figure 1**). This improvement is necessary to provide additional capacity to accommodate the future travel demand that will be generated by the projected population and employment growth in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. This is a state funded project, and it is expected to have an “Individual 404” permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

In 2017, Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) completed a Cultural Resources Assessment Survey (CRAS) as part of the PD&E Study for US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties (ACI 2017; Survey No. 24187). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) (Parsons 2017, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345B). In 2021, ACI conducted a CRAS Addendum for 12 SMF sites and 8 FPC sites (ACI 2021b; Survey No. 28091). The CRAS Addendum was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Parsons 2022a, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345C). Also in 2021, an Effects Letter was prepared to analyze the potential effects of the proposed undertaking to the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP)-eligible HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) located within the Area of Potential Effects (APE) (ACI 2021c). Although this is a state funded project and follows Chapter 267 Florida Statutes, a USACE permit is anticipated; therefore, an effects determination update was conducted due to minor changes to the project corridor typical section between Stacy Road and SR 56. In February 2022 the SHPO concurred with the findings of no adverse effect (Parsons 2022b, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345D). See **Appendix A** for previous SHPO correspondence.

This current CRAS Update is being prepared to update the previous CRAS PD&E Study that was prepared in 2017 and to address design changes to five SMF and FPC sites that were surveyed in 2021. This CRAS Update will include field survey for five of the SMF and FPC sites (SMF 3A, 6C, 7A, 9C, 10B); the remainder either had no changes, were removed, or the change was very minimal. This CRAS Update also includes a Historic Resources Survey Update (HRSU) for the mainline US 301 corridor to identify, record and evaluate historic resources that were not included in the previous 2017 PD&E Study CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time.

The purpose of this survey was to locate and identify any cultural resources within the project APE and to assess their significance in terms of eligibility for listing in the NRHP, as well as assess the potential for adverse impacts to resources from the proposed project activities. As defined in 36 CFR Part § 800.16(d), the APE is the “geographic area or areas within which an undertaking may directly or indirectly cause alterations in the character or use of historic properties, if any such properties exist.” The archaeological APE is defined as the expansion area contained within the footprint of five pond sites (SMF 3A, SMF 6C, SMF 7A, 9C, 10B). The historical/architectural APE includes immediately adjacent parcels where resources within 200-foot (ft) of the proposed right-of-way (ROW) were surveyed. This APE remains in-keeping with the 2017 US 301 CRAS (ACI 2017; Survey No. 24187). The historical/architectural APE for the proposed pond sites includes the footprint of construction and immediately adjacent properties as contained within 100 ft. This APE remains in-keeping with the 2021

pond CRAS (ACI 2017; Survey No. 28091). The archaeological field survey was conducted in April 2023 and the historical/architectural field survey was conducted in May 2023.

The CRAS was conducted in accordance with Section 106 of the *National Historic Preservation Act* of 1966, as amended by Public Law 89-665; the *Archaeological and Historic Preservation Act*, as amended by Public Law 93-291; Executive Order 11593; and Chapters 267 and 373, *Florida Statutes (FS)*. All work was carried out in conformity with Part 2, Chapter 8 (“Archaeological and Historical Resources”) of the FDOT’s *PD&E Manual* (FDOT 2020), and the Florida Division of Historical Resources’ (FDHR) standards contained in the *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual* (FDHR 2003), as well as with the provisions contained in the Chapter 1A-46, *Florida Administrative Code (FAC)*. Principal Investigators meet the *Secretary of the Interior’s Professional Qualification Standards* (48 FR 44716) for archaeology, history, architecture, architectural history, or historic architecture.

The archeological background research, which included a review of the previous US 301 PD&E Study CRAS and memos, the Florida Master Site File (FMSF) database, and the NRHP indicated that there are no previously recorded archaeological sites within the five pond sites (SMF 3A, SMF 6C, SMF 7A, 9C, 10B) subjected to additional survey. However, there are 34 previously recorded archaeological sites within one half mile of the APE. The pond sites have a low to moderate archaeological potential.

As a result of the field survey, including the excavation of 622 shovel tests, no archaeological sites were identified. In the absence of subsurface features, the site has low research potential and is similar to other sites in the area which have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. [REDACTED] Site does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

The focus of the historical/architectural survey of the US 301 mainline corridor was to identify, record, and evaluate historic resources that were constructed between 1968 and 1974 or earlier that were not included in the previous 2017 CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time. This is not a re-evaluation of the entire corridor, as such, previously recorded resources were not taken into account at the request of District Seven. A review of relevant quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and Hillsborough and Pasco County property appraiser’s website data revealed the potential for 19 historic resources 49 years of age or older (constructed in 1974 or earlier) within the APE (Henriquez 2023; Wells 2023).

The historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 17 historic resources (8HI15170, 8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15445 – 8HI15458) within the APE. These include 11 buildings (8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15450, 8HI15451, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15455, 8HI15456, 8HI15457, and 8HI15458) constructed between circa (ca.) 1934 and ca. 1974, three building complex resource groups (8HI15170, 8HI15448, and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). Three historic resources, the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170), Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172), were constructed prior to 1968. These resources were identified and recorded during the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Two Rivers Preserve Property, Hillsborough County, Florida* conducted by ACI in 2021 for the Eisenhower Property Group. During this survey, the resources were assigned FMSF numbers; however, the report has not been submitted to the SHPO. As such, the resources will be considered newly identified for the purposes of this survey and submitted with the pre-existing FMSF numbers.

Of the 17 historic resources identified within the APE, 14 appear ineligible for individual listing in the NRHP (8HI15445 – 8HI15458). These include nine buildings (8HI15450 – 8HI15458), two building complex resource groups (8HI15448 and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). The buildings are common examples of their respective architectural style that have been altered and the building complex resource groups are of common examples of mobile home parks found throughout Florida that lack unique design features and characteristics. The bridges are common examples of concrete slab bridges constructed in ca. 1972 that do not possess any notable engineering features or design elements that would differentiate them from dozens of similar examples built throughout Florida during the same time period. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

A total of three historic resources within the APE appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. These include the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170) with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172). These resources are significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading to the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are examples of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and shed light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, State Road Department Camp No. 8502 Resource Group (8HI15170), Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) appear eligible for individual listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of a prison road camp commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

At the location of the potentially eligible resources, SMF 13A is located within the boundary of the resource group; however, the contributing resources are located over 200-ft from the proposed pond footprint. In addition, approximately 28-ft of ROW acquisition is proposed where clearing and grubbing is expected to accommodate a 12-ft shared-use path. The removal of vegetation should be minimal and should not change the views to or from US 301 and the resource group. The proposed project will not impact the use or the current and future access to this historic property. Based on the scope of work, the proposed project will not further alter the existing aesthetic conditions of the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 resource group (8HI15170), nor will it further alter the setting in a negative way that will diminish or destroy the qualities and characteristics for which these properties are considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. The property appears significant under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture. As such, the findings suggest *no adverse effect* to the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170) with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172).

In addition to the 17 historic resources identified within the APE, the Hillsborough County property appraiser identified two historic resources that could not be evaluated or recorded during the field survey due to lack of accessibility and/or obstructed views from the ROW. These are two ca. 1973 buildings located at 14224 N US 301 and 12541 Avery Road. The building at 14224 N US 301 is on a residential lot that is set back from the roadway, lined with fencing, and obscured by vegetation and non-historic outbuildings. The building at 12541 Avery Road is surrounded by adjacent buildings, a fence, and heavily overgrown vegetation. Based on available information, these resources are probably typical examples of vernacular style buildings; however, because the resources are not visible or accessible from the ROW, the status and condition of the resources are unknown. Per the project Roadway Plans, no ROW acquisition is proposed in this area of US 301. The buildings are a

considerable distance from the proposed improvements, 110-ft to 170-ft respectively, and are hidden by existing vegetation on the parcels.




Figure 1. Location of the pond sites along the US 301 corridor in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. Pond sites examined during this survey are noted in green.

2. PROJECT DESCRIPTION

The FDOT, District Seven, is conducting a PD&E Study for US 301 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to determine alternative roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (SR 582) in Hillsborough County to SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The purpose of the PD&E study was to evaluate roadway improvements along the corridor. The study involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. As part of this process, SMF and FPC Sites are also being considered. This is a state funded project.

The proposed action involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway and includes pedestrian and bicycle-accommodations. This improvement is necessary to provide additional capacity to accommodate the future travel demand that will be generated by the projected population and employment growth in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. US 301 is a major north-south roadway that traverses both counties and provides connectivity to many of Florida's major roadways including I-4, I-75, SR 54, and SR 52. This roadway is a vital link in the regional transportation network and serves as an emergency evacuation route.

The four-laning of the Hillsborough County portion of the study corridor (from Fowler Avenue to the County line) is identified as a "Highway Need Beyond 2040" in the Hillsborough Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)'s 2045 Long Range Transportation Plan (LRTP). The four-laning of the Pasco County portion of the study corridor (from the County line to SR 56) is identified as an unfunded need in the Pasco County MPO's 2045 LRTP Needs Plan.

The existing US 301 roadway has a two-lane undivided rural typical section from Fowler Avenue to SR 56. The roadway is functionally classified by FDOT as an Urban Other Principal Arterial from Fowler Avenue to just north of CR 579 (Mango Road) and from the county line to SR 56. The remaining portion of the project is classified as a Rural Other Principal Arterial. The posted speed limits within the study corridor are 55 miles per hour (mph) from Fowler Avenue to Flint Creek and 60 mph from Flint Creek to SR 56.

The existing typical section consists of one 12-ft travel lane and a 5-ft paved shoulder in each direction and a 2.2-mile, variable width, shared-use path (known as the Old Fort King Trail) running along the east side of US 301 beginning just north of Stacy Road. Drainage is collected in roadside ditches and is ultimately conveyed to the Hillsborough River. The existing ROW width ranges from 100 ft to 200 ft.

The project was evaluated through the FDOT's Efficient Transportation Decision Making (ETDM) process. This project is designated as ETDM project #14194. An ETDM *Programming Screen Summary Report* was published on April 21, 2015 containing comments from the Environmental Technical Advisory Team (ETAT) on the project's effects on various natural, physical, and social resources.

3. ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The APE is located in various Sections, Townships, and Ranges (**Table 1**) and is located within the Central Highlands physiographic zone, and more specifically within the Zephyrhills Gap (White 1970). The Gap is the lowland through which the Hillsborough River drains into the Gulf of Mexico. The topography is gently rolling with a series of low hills and valleys paralleling the coast. The corridor

ranges in elevation from 25-70-ft above mean sea level (amsl). US 301 traverses forest, pasture, undeveloped lands, and residential/ commercial areas (**Photos 1-5**). The southern end of the corridor has the greatest concentration of development. Environmental characteristics of the APE are shown in Figures in Section 5.

Table 1. Township, Range, and Section coordinates for APE.

SMF/FPC Site	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTIONS
SMF 3A	28 South	20 East	9
SMF 6C	27 South	20 East	36
SMF 7A, SMF 9C, SMF 10B	27 South	21 East	17, 20 and 30



Photo 1. Looking northwest at SMF 3A.



Photo 2. Looking south at SMF 6C.



Photo 3. Looking west at SMF 7A.



Photo 4. Looking north at SMF 9C.



Photo 5. Looking north at SMF 10B.

The soil types of the pond sites where additional testing occurred are shown in **Figures 2-4**. Vegetation typical of these soil types and observed during field survey include those of pine flatwoods and support longleaf and slash pine with an understory of saw palmetto, pineland threeawn, gallberry, and running oak. A few of the soil types are consistent with those found in wetter areas and contain

mixed stands of cypress, sweetgum, red maple, water oak, and black tupelo with an understory of maidencane, cutgrass, and Jamaica sawgrass. (Doolittle et al. 1989). A more detailed analysis of the soil types is found in ACI's 2017 and 2021 reports and not repeated here.



Figure 2. Soil types within the APE.




Figure 3. Soil types within the APE.



Figure 4. Soil types within the APE.

4. PREHISTORIC AND HISTORIC OVERVIEWS

In-depth prehistoric overview was included in the 2017 PD&E Study, *CRAS for US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida* and is not repeated here (ACI 2017; Survey No. 24187). The CRAS document was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Parsons 2017, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345B). In addition, a historic context overview and an updated, condensed history for Hillsborough and Pasco Counties of the project area was included in the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum Preferred Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) & Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida* (ACI 2021b; Survey No. 28091). The CRAS addendum was submitted to and approved by the SHPO (Parsons 2022a, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345C). Thus, the overviews are not repeated here.

5. ARCHAEOLOGICAL AND HISTORICAL BACKGROUND AND CONSIDERATIONS

Archaeological: Prior to initiating the archaeological and historical survey of the preferred pond sites, ACI reviewed the US 301 CRAS (ACI 2017; Survey No. 24187), the Preliminary Technical Memorandum for Proposed Stormwater Management Facilities from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 (ACI 2021a), the Preferred Pond Technical Memorandum Addendum (ACI 2021b; Survey No. 28091), as well as the ETDM Report #14194, which give the project a moderate degree of effect for cultural resources. The FMSF search indicated that 34 previously recorded archaeological sites are located within one half mile of the pond sites, and one was previously recorded in the northeast portion of pond site 6C during ACI's 2021 survey (**Figures 5, 6, and 7**). The site within pond site 6C was a lithic scatter determined not eligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO in May of 2022; the other sites include mounds, artifact/lithic scatters, a fort, trestle, campsites, historic refuse, and isolated artifacts. A table noting these 34 sites can be found in ACI's 2021 report, Survey No. 28091 and is not repeated here.

Approximately 30 surveys been conducted within one mile of the APE. These have been done for gas pipelines, transportation, private developers, and the Hillsborough River State Park. These surveys are referenced in ACI's 2017 and 2021 reports (Survey Nos. 24187 and 28091) and not repeated here. As a result of these previous surveys as well as the results of the surveys by ACI (2017, 2021b) along this portion of US 301, the pond sites were determined to have a low to moderate archaeological potential. Given the results of the historic research, no historic period archaeological sites, including nineteenth century homesteads, forts, or Indian encampments were expected.




Figure 5. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.




Figure 6. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.




Figure 7. Environmental Setting and previously recorded archaeological sites within close proximity to the APE.

Historical/Architectural: This survey is an addendum to the previous *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56 Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study* that was prepared by ACI in 2017 (Survey No. 24187). This survey resulted in the identification of 30 historic resources (8HI3890-8HI3894, 8HI12137, 8PA2675, 8HI11700-8HI11703, 8HI13503-8HI13520, 8HI13526) within the project APE. Of these, 24 were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO. The historic resources identified during the aforementioned survey have not been re-evaluated, as the purpose of this survey is to identify those historic resources constructed between ca. 1968 and 1974 that have not been recorded, thus bringing the previous survey up to date. In 2021, ACI conducted the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum Preferred Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) & Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida* (Survey No. 28091). This survey resulted in the identification of 11 historic resources (8HI11701, 8HI13507, 8HI13600, 8HI12137/8PA02675, 8HI15143 – 8HI15149), none of which were evaluated as eligible for listing in the NRHP. The 2017 CRAS report and 2021 Preferred Pond Addendum were reviewed and approved by the SHPO (ACI 2017/ Parsons 2017, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345B; 2021b/Parsons 2022a, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345C). See **Appendix A** for previous SHPO correspondence and **Appendix B** for previous historical results.

In addition, the Hillsborough River State Park (HRSP) New Deal Resources (8HI13526) building complex resource group and its five contributing resources (8HI03890 – 8HI03894) were identified within the APE during the 2017 US 301 PD&E Study (Survey No. 24187). These resources were determined eligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO under Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture as excellent examples of Rustic and Industrial Vernacular architecture, New Deal planning, and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) construction methods in the State of Florida. In 2021, an Effects Letter was prepared to analyze the potential effects of the proposed undertaking to the NRHP-eligible HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) located within the APE (ACI 2021c). Although this is a state funded project and follows Chapter 267 Florida Statutes, a USACE permit is anticipated; therefore, an effects determination update was conducted due to minor changes to the project corridor typical section between Stacy Road and SR 56. In February 2022 the SHPO concurred with the findings of no adverse effect (Parsons 2022b, FDHR Project File No. 2015-345D).

A portion of the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group is located within the US 301 corridor APE and SMF 13A is located within the resource group boundary. The State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) is comprised of two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172). These resources were identified and recorded during the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Two Rivers Preserve Property, Hillsborough County, Florida* conducted by ACI in 2021 for the Eisenhower Property Group. During this survey, the resources were assigned FMSF numbers; however, the report has not been submitted to the SHPO. As such, the resources will be considered newly identified for the purposes of this survey and submitted with the pre-existing FMSF numbers.

A review of relevant historic United States Geological Survey (USGS) quadrangle maps, historic aerial photographs, and the Hillsborough County property appraiser's website data revealed the potential for 19 new historic resources 49 years of age or older (constructed in 1974 or earlier) within the APE (Henriquez 2023).

6. SURVEY METHODS

The FDHR's *Module Three, Guidelines for Use by Historic Professionals*, indicates that the first stage of archaeological field survey is a reconnaissance of the project area to "ground truth," or ascertain the validity of the predictive model (FDHR 2003). During this part of the survey, the researcher assesses whether the initial predictive model needs adjustment based on disturbance or conditions such as constructed features (i.e., parking lots, buildings, etc.), underground utilities, landscape alterations (i.e., ditches and swales, mined land, dredged and filled land, agricultural fields), or other constraints that may affect the archaeological potential. Additionally, these Guidelines indicate that non-systematic "judgmental" testing may be appropriate in urbanized environments where pavement, utilities, and constructed features make systematic testing unfeasible; in geographically restricted areas such as proposed pond sites; or within project areas that have limited high and moderate probability zones, but where a larger subsurface testing sample may be desired. While predictive models are useful in determining preliminary testing strategies in a broad context, it is understood that testing intervals may be altered due to conditions encountered by the field crew at the time of survey.

Archaeological: Archaeological field survey included both ground surface reconnaissance and the systematic excavation of shovel test pits. Subsurface testing focused only on those areas of the five ponds sites that were expanded and the shovel tests were placed systematically at 25 meter (m) intervals and judgmentally. Positive shovel tests were bounded at 12.5 m intervals. All shovel tests measured .5 m in diameter, and most were dug to 1 m in depth unless impeded by water, gravel, or other impenetrable substrata. All recovered soil was screened through a .63 centimeters (cm) mesh hardware cloth to maximize the recovery of cultural materials, and, after soil stratigraphy was recorded, each test pit was refilled. The location of each shovel test was plotted on a GPS Juno 5 Series.

Historical/Architectural: Historic/architectural field methodology consisted of a field survey of the APE to determine and verify the location of all buildings and other historic resources (i.e., bridges, roads, cemeteries) that are 49 years of age or older (constructed in or prior to 1974), and to establish if any such resources could be determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The field survey focused on the assessment of existing conditions for all previously recorded historic resources located within the project APE, and the presence of unrecorded historic resources within the project area. For each property, photographs were taken, and information needed for the completion of FMSF forms was gathered. In addition to architectural descriptions, each historic resource was reviewed to assess style, historic context, condition, and potential NRHP eligibility. Also, informant interviews would have been conducted, if possible, with knowledgeable persons to obtain site-specific building construction dates and/or possible associations with individuals or events significant to local or regional history.

Laboratory Procedures and Curation: All recovered cultural materials were initially cleaned and sorted by artifact class. Lithics were divided into tools and debitage based on gross morphology. Tools were measured and the edges examined with a 7-45x stereo-zoom microscope for traces of edge damage and classified using standard references (Bullen 1975; Purdy 1981). Lithic debitage was subjected to a limited technological analysis focused on ascertaining the stages of stone tool production. Flakes and non-flake production debris (i.e., cores, blanks, pre-forms) were measured, and examined for raw material types and absence or presence of thermal alteration. Flakes were classified into four types (primary decortication, secondary decortication, non-decortication, and shatter) based on the amount of cortex on the dorsal surface and the shape (White 1963). No ceramics or other artifact types were found.

All project-related information will be housed at Archaeological Consultants, Inc., in Sarasota (Project File No. P15077C), pending transfer to an FDOT-designated repository for permanent storage and curation.

Inadvertent/Unexpected Discoveries: Occasionally, archaeological deposits, subsurface features or unmarked human remains are encountered during the course of development, even though the project area may have previously received a thorough and professionally adequate cultural resources assessment. Such events are rare, but they do occur. In the event that human remains are encountered during the course of development, the procedures outlined in Chapter 872, *FS* must be followed. However, it was not anticipated that such sites would be found during this survey.

In the event unexpected archaeological discoveries are made during the development process, all activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will be suspended, and a professional archaeologist will be contacted to evaluate the importance of the discovery. The area will be examined by the archaeologist, who, in consultation with staff of the Florida SHPO, will determine if the discovery is significant or potentially significant. In the event the discovery is found to be not significant, the work may immediately resume. If, on the other hand, the discovery is found to be significant or potentially significant, then development activities in the immediate vicinity of the discovery will continue to be suspended until such time as a mitigation plan, acceptable to SHPO, is developed and implemented. Development activities may then resume within the discovery area, but only when conducted in accordance with the guidelines and conditions of the approved mitigation plan.

7. SURVEY RESULTS


Archaeological Survey Results: Only the portions of five ponds sites were subjected to additional testing (SMF 3A, 6C, 7A, 9C, 10B); the remainder either had no changes, were removed, or the change was very minimal (**Table 2**). Field survey resulted in the excavation of 22 shovel tests placed within the APE; these were placed systematically at 25 m intervals as well as judgmentally and at closer intervals (12.5 m) to bound positive shovel tests. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the project APE.

Table 2. Changes to the SMF/FPC sites; orange shading represents ponds that were tested.

PREVIOUS SMF/FPC	NEW SMF/FPC
SMF 1A	Shape change; same label (no additional work anticipated)
FPC 1	No changes; same label
SMF 3A	New area added; same label
SMF 4A	Slight shift in size; same label (no additional work anticipated)
SMF 5B	Slight shift in size; same label (no additional work anticipated)
SMF 6C	New area added; same label
FPC 3	No changes; same label
FPC 4	NO LONGER EXISTS
FPC 2	No changes; same label
SMF 7A	New area added; same label
FPC 5	Slight shift in size; same label (no additional work anticipated)
SMF 8A	NO LONGER EXISTS
SMF 8B	No changes; same label
SMF 9C	New area added; same label
SMF 10B	New area added; same label
SMF 12A	Slight shift in size; same label (no additional work anticipated)
FPC 6	No changes; same label
FPC 7	Slight shift in size; same label (no additional work anticipated)
SMF 13A	No changes; same label
FPC 8	No changes; same label

The distribution of the shovel test pits and soil stratigraphy is noted in **Table 3** and **Figures 8-12** show the location of the shovel tests. As a result of the field survey, one new archaeological site, 8HI15394, was found in pond site 6C; the FMSF form is contained in **Appendix C** and a description is provided below.

Table 3. Archaeological field survey results.



*Zones of Archaeological Potential (ZAP)



Photo 6. Limestone and gravel fill noted in SMF 3A.



Photo 7. Soil conditions of SMF 6C.



Photo 8. Soil conditions of SMF 7A.



Photo 9. Soil conditions of SMF 9C.



Photo 10. Soil conditions of SMF 10 B.

[REDACTED]

It is located on the moderately well-drained Tavares-Millhopper complex, 0-5% slopes, at an approximate elevation of 40-45 ft amsl just above swampland; the site is overgrown with mixed hardwoods that transition to palm and cypress towards the west. The general stratigraphy of the site consists of 0-30 cm of gray sand followed by 30-70 cm of light brown sand, clay 70-100 cm.




Photo 11. Site 8HI15394, facing east.

The site was discovered while conducting systematic shovel testing at 25 m and judgmental subsurface testing. The testing intervals were decreased to 12.5 m intervals to bound the site. There were two positive and 10 negative shovel tests. The site extends 10 m east/west by 25 m north/south.

The artifact assemblage consists of one chunk of thermally altered chert; one 5 x 3 cm thermally altered, coral multi-purpose flake tool used to slice, perforate, and scrape; one thermally altered, non-decortication chert flake (medium-sized, 1-2 cm); one thermally altered, chert flake tool (large 2-3 cm) used for slicing and scrapping; and one 5 x 6 cm preform of thermally altered chert. Artifacts were recovered from 30-70 cm below surface.

Although of interest in terms of settlement patterns, this limited assemblage provides little information on the occupants of the area. The site probably represents a short-term encampment established to utilize the nearby resources. The lithic artifacts suggest late stages of stone tool manufacture and or maintenance. The use of thermal alteration suggests a Middle/Late Archaic component (cf. Ste. Claire 1987). As such, given the mundane nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features, the SMF 6C Site (8HI15153) is considered ineligible for listing in the NRHP.

Draft






Figure 9. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE and newly recorded archaeological site.




Figure 10. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.




Figure 11. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.




Figure 12. Approximate location of shovel tests within the APE.

Historical/Architectural Survey Results: As a result of the historical/architectural field survey, 17 historic resources (8HI15170, 8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15445 – 8HI15458) were newly identified, recorded, and evaluated within the APE (**Table 4; Figures 13-17**). These include 11 buildings (8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15450, 8HI15451, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15455, 8HI15456, 8HI15457, and 8HI15458) constructed between ca. 1934 and ca. 1974, three building complex resource groups (8HI15170, 8HI15448, and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). Three historic resources, the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170), Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172), were constructed prior to 1968. These resources were identified and recorded during the *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of the Two Rivers Preserve Property, Hillsborough County, Florida* conducted by ACI in 2021 for the Eisenhower Property Group. During this survey, the resources were assigned FMSF numbers; however, the report has not been submitted to the SHPO. As such, the resources will be considered newly identified for the purposes of this survey and submitted with the pre-existing FMSF numbers.

Of the 17 historic resources identified within the APE, 14 appear ineligible for individual listing in the NRHP (8HI15445 – 8HI15458). This total includes nine buildings (8HI15450, 8HI15451, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15455, 8HI15456, 8HI15457, 8HI15458), two building complex resource groups (8HI15448 and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). The buildings are common examples of their respective architectural style that have been altered and the building complex resource groups are of common examples of mobile home parks found throughout Florida that lack unique design features and characteristics. The bridges are common examples of concrete slab bridges constructed in ca. 1972 that do not possess any notable engineering features or design elements that would differentiate them from dozens of similar examples built throughout Florida during the same time period. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Three historic resources within the APE appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. This includes the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172). These resources are significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading to the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are examples of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and shed light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170), Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) appear eligible for individual listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of a prison road camp commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

Below are descriptions and photographs of the three resources which appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, as well as general descriptions and selected examples of the architectural styles and resource types represented within the APE. FMSF forms for the newly identified historic resources are provided in **Appendix C**. No informant interviews for historic resources were conducted during the field survey. A reasonable and good faith effort was made per the regulations laid out in 36 CFR § 800.4(b)(1) (Advisory Council on Historic Preservation n.d.) to survey all areas of the APE.

Table 4. Newly identified historic resources within the US 301 APE.

FMSF No.	Address/Site Name	Year Built	Style/Type	NRHP Eligibility Recommendation
Structures				
8HI15171	17040 N US 301 / Road Camp No. 8502 - Bldg 1	ca. 1934	Frame Vernacular	Individually Eligible and Contributing to 8HI15170
8HI15172	17040 N US 301 / Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall	ca. 1934	Frame Vernacular	Individually Eligible and Contributing to 8HI15170
8HI15450	9701 E Fowler Avenue	ca. 1970	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15451	9824 E Fowler Avenue	ca. 1970	No Style (Mobile Home)	Ineligible
8HI15452	9812 Rockhill Road	ca. 1971	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15453	11750 N US 301	ca. 1968	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15454	11931 N US 301	ca. 1968	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15455	12073 Emory Oak Loop / Green Oaks MHP (Bldg 1)	ca. 1969	No Style (Mobile Home)	Ineligible
8HI15456	14016 N US 301	ca. 1974	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15457	14212 N US 301	ca. 1972	Frame Vernacular	Ineligible
8HI15458	14240 N US 301	ca. 1973	Masonry Vernacular	Ineligible
Resource Groups				
8HI15170	17040 N US 301 / State Road Department Camp No. 8502	ca. 1934	Building Complex Resource Group	Eligible
8HI15448	12073 Emory Oak Loop / Green Oaks MHP	ca. 1969	Building Complex Resource Group	Ineligible
8HI15449	12110 Spanish Main Resort Trail / Spanish Main MHP	ca. 1973	Building Complex Resource Group	Ineligible
Bridges				
8HI15445	US 301 over Flint Creek (FDOT No. 100951)	ca. 1972	Bridge (Slab)	Ineligible
8HI15446	US 301 over Flint Creek Relief (FDOT No. 100052)	ca. 1972	Bridge (Slab)	Ineligible
8HI15447	US 301 over Hollowman's Branch (FDOT No. 100053)	ca. 1972	Bridge (Slab)	Ineligible

Blue highlight denotes resources that appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.




Figure 13. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.




Figure 14. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.




Figure 15. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.




Figure 16. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.




Figure 17. Location of historic resources within the US 301 corridor APE.

Potential NRHP-Eligible Historic Resources

Within the APE, three historic resources appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. This includes the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) (**Figure 18**). At the location of these resources, SMF 13A is located within the boundary of the resource group; however, the contributing resources are over 200-ft from the proposed pond footprint. In addition, approximately 28-ft of ROW acquisition is proposed where clearing and grubbing is expected to accommodate a 12-ft shared-use path along the north side of US 301 (**Appendix D**).




Figure 18. Location of the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group and its two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172).

8HI15170: The State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group located at 17040 N US 301 was established in ca. 1934. The resource group is located within Hillsborough County Parcel # U-04-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27460.0 and west of US 301 in Section 4 of Township 27 South Range 21 East (USGS 1947). Two contributing resources are located within the boundaries, including a ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) likely used as living quarters and a ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style mess hall (8HI15172). Several non-historic agricultural structures are also located on the property, including approximately three pole barns and two water tanks.

The first Florida State Prison was established in Chattahoochee in 1868 at the Apalachicola Arsenal following its use during the Civil War (USPIRA 1938). The buildings served as a penitentiary

for approximately ten years until it was converted into Florida State Hospital for the Insane in 1876. During the ten-year period, convict leasing became a common practice which decreased the number of inmates within the prison. Convicts were leased to turpentine camps, phosphate mines, and other locations in need of hard labor and were originally paid by the State of Florida to manage the convicts; however, lessees were required to pay for the labor following a modification to the system in 1890 (USPIRA 1938). Convict leasing continued until 1919 for state prisoners and 1923 for county convicts. Unregulated conditions and cruel treatment of prisoners gradually gained state and national attention which led to the abolishment of the leasing program altogether (Roberts 1981). The Florida Legislature created a government operated labor force in 1917 which placed prisoners under control of the State Road Department in road camps (USPIRA 1938). Similar to the division of standard prisons by state and county level based on the level of offence, the road camps were also divided by state and county level.

In Hillsborough County, a county level camp was located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa while State Road Department Camp No. 8502 – known previously as Camp No. 33 – served at a state level, albeit also in Hillsborough County (Murray 1945; Miami News 1942). State Road Department Camp No. 8502 appears to have been constructed as early as ca. 1934 to provide convict labor for the construction of State Road 156 (later known as US 301); however, the exact date could not be determined (Tampa Tribune 1934). The land upon which the camp was constructed was historically owned by Wayne Thomas, who donated several hundred acres to the south along Hillsborough River for use as Hillsborough River State Park (Tampa Times 1934). The road camp is not associated with the state park. Historic aerials and photographs reveal that the camp was equipped with several buildings, including a known commissary, mess hall, and sweatboxes (USDA 1941; Murray 1945).

Road camps, commonly referred to as “chain gangs,” could be located wherever needed by the State Road Department and were frequently used in the construction and maintenance of roads throughout the state (USPIRA 1938). As such, camps were typically located strategically in order to be close to the large project. In addition, camps were segregated by race. Data collected during a survey conducted by the Prison Industries Reorganization Administration reveals that at the time of the survey in 1938, approximately 39 African-American prisoners were located at Road Camp No. 8502 outside of Zephyrhills (USPIRA 1938). During this survey, it was determined that road camps throughout the state were relatively standard in construction and layout. The camps were comprised of “captain’s quarters and office, guard’s quarters, convict quarters, combination dining hall and kitchen with separate officers’ mess, commissary, store-room, solitary confinement cells [commonly known as sweatboxes], garages, shops and warehouses” that were of wood frame construction with galvanized metal roofing and ventilation facilities with screening (USPIRA 1938). Typically, the convict quarters and the mess hall would be located within a stockade constructed of barbed wire fencing roughly 12 ft tall and encompassing an area approximately 120 ft by 150 ft (USPIRA 1938). The survey stated that prisoners were required to work approximately 56 hours a week, not to exceed 11 hours per day. Saturday and Sunday were free of work and Sunday was typically designated as a visitation day; however, the road camps quickly became notorious for intense labor requirements, extreme punishment, and frequent escape attempts. Escapes from Road Camp No. 8502 were common, and bloodhounds were frequently used to find the escapees. In 1942, thirteen convicts at Road Camp No. 8502 protested labor requirements and mistreatment by cutting their Achilles tendons with safety razors, resulting in temporary disability and an official inquiry into the camp authorities (Miami News 1942).

Road Camp No. 8502 was closed in ca. 1955 following the construction of a modern facility roughly two miles to the north along US 301 in Pasco County (Tampa Tribune 1955). Unlike the early facilities, the new camp housed prisoners within a “steel-reinforced concrete building” equipped with central air and heating that was “H-shaped, with the center section housing the prisoners, one wing the

camp's personnel, and the other wing the camp captain's and clerk's offices and quarters and mess hall for all camp personnel" (Tampa Tribune 1955). At the time of construction, 44 convicts were transferred from the outdated facility to what was considered the "most modern and best equipped of the 36 camps operated by the State Road Department" (Tampa Tribune 1955). The new camp remains in use today as the Zephyrhills Correctional Institution while the historic location is currently used for cattle grazing. In addition to the replacement of the state facility, the Hillsborough County road camp located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa was demolished and replaced with the current Hillsborough County Sheriff's Office Orient Road Jail.

Despite the demolition of the majority of the buildings associated with State Road Department Camp No. 8502, the overall setting of the camp remains rural in nature and has not been significantly developed with the exception of approximately five non-historic agricultural buildings. The two remaining buildings – the ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) and mess hall (8HI15172) – serve as contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. These contributing resources are the only known examples of early road camps remaining in the region and have received few non-historic alterations. As such, the buildings and overall resource group are significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are examples of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and shed light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) appears eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of a prison road camp commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.



Photo 12. Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), looking north-northeast.



Photo 13. Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172), looking northwest.

8HI15171 and 8HI15172: The Frame Vernacular style buildings at 17040 N US 301 were constructed in ca. 1934. Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) was likely used as living quarters (**Photo 12**), while Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) served as a mess hall for convicts and authority at State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (**Photo 13**). The resources are considered contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. Despite the demolition of the majority of the buildings associated with State Road Department Camp No. 8502, the ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style buildings (8HI15171 and 8HI15172) serve as contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. These resources are the only known examples of early road camp architecture remaining in the region. The Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) has received multiple alterations, including the enclosure of the original ventilation openings and the installation of windows, as well as a historic shed roof addition; however, the addition was completed while the building was utilized by the road camp. The Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) is largely intact and has been minimally altered since its use as a mess hall.

Overall, the buildings remain significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading to the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are an extant example of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and personnel, and sheds light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, the resources appear eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of prison road camp architecture commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida, both individually and as contributing resources to the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group.

Ineligible Historic Resources

There are 14 ineligible historic resources located within the APE (8HI15445 – 8HI15458). This total includes nine buildings (8HI15450, 8HI15451, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15455, 8HI15456, 8HI15457, 8HI15458), two building complex resource groups (8HI15448 and 8HI15449),

and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). The architectural styles represented are Masonry Vernacular (5), Frame Vernacular (2), and No Style (2). The buildings are common examples of their respective architectural style that have been altered and the building complex resource groups are of common examples of mobile home parks found throughout Florida that lack unique design features and characteristics. The bridges are common examples of concrete slab bridges constructed in ca. 1972 that do not possess any notable engineering features or design elements that would differentiate them from dozens of similar examples built throughout Florida during the same time period. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.



Photo 14. Masonry Vernacular style building (8HI15452) located at 9812 Rockhill Road, looking north.



Photo 15. Masonry Vernacular style building (8HI15454) located at 11931 N US 301, looking southeast.

Masonry Vernacular: A total of five (5) historic resources (8HI15450, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15458) within the APE are of the Masonry Vernacular style (**Photos 14 and 15**). The construction dates range from ca. 1968 to ca. 1973. The majority of these resources were constructed as single-family residences; however, two are utilized for commercial purposes (8HI15454 and 8HI15458). Masonry Vernacular style buildings reflect the local customs, environment, and building materials, and do not rely on academic architectural vocabulary for their design and ornament. Within the APE, the resources generally have a continuous concrete block foundation and concrete block walls that have been painted. The windows are typically metal or vinyl single-hung sash or sliding units. Roof types commonly consist of hip or gable roofs, clad with composition shingles or ribbed sheet metal. Most display replacement windows and roofs, fenestration changes, and additions.



Photo 16. Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15456) located at 14016 N US 301, looking northwest.

Frame Vernacular: Two (2) historic resources (8HI15456 and 8HI15457) within the APE are of the Frame Vernacular style and were constructed in ca. 1974 and 1972, respectively (**Photo 16**). Frame Vernacular style buildings are simple structures built with available local materials and boasting little ornamentation (McAlester 2013). They are often built by developers, contractors, master carpenters, or the building's occupants. These buildings are decidedly practical structures. Within the APE, this building type has obscured foundations with wood frame structural systems. Roof types include gable roofs with shed roof segments covered in composition shingle, composition roll, or 3V crimp sheet metal. The exteriors of the buildings are clad in various siding, including stucco, vinyl, and plywood. The windows include vinyl single-hung sash units. Exterior ornamentation is minimal and includes basic features such as overhanging eaves with boxed rafter tails and trim around the windows. The resources display alterations and additions, such as replacement roofing, siding, and windows.



Photo 17. Mobile home with no style (8HI15455) located at 12073 Emory Oak Loop, looking east.

No Style: Two (2) historic resources within the project APE are mobile homes with no style (8HI15451 and 8HI15455) and were constructed in ca. 1970 and 1969, respectively (**Photo 17**). The mobile homes within the APE include both single-wide and double-wide designs with pier foundations surrounded by metal skirting. Metal single-hung sash and awning windows are most common, and exterior ornamentation is minimal with metal siding. These manufactured buildings have no style and are simply built in a factory with a steel chassis to allow for the ability to be moved. One of the two mobile homes (8HI15455) is a representative and contributing resource to the Green Oaks Mobile Home Park (8HI15448).



Photo 18. US 301 over Flint Creek (FDOT 100951) (8HI15445), looking north.



Photo 19. Photo 20. US 301 over Flint Creek relief (FDOT 100052) (8HI15446), looking northeast.

Bridges: Three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447) are located within the APE. These include the US 301 over Flint Creek (FDOT No. 100951/8HI15445) bridge, the US 301 over Flint Creek Relief (FDOT No. 100052/8HI15446) bridge, and the US 301 over Hollowman's Branch (FDOT No. 100053/8HI15447) bridge. The three resources are concrete slab bridges that were constructed in ca. 1972. The overall lengths of the bridges range from approximately 50-ft long (two spans) to 96-ft long (three spans) and all three bridges are 40-ft wide with roadway widths of approximately 24-ft. The superstructure of each bridge consists concrete slabs supported by concrete bent substructures with sloped concrete bag abutments. The bents have 4-5 squared piers each. Two bridges (8HI15445 and 8HI15447) are lined with solid concrete parapets (**Photo 18**), and one is lined with metal guardrails (8HI15446) (**Photo 19**). The bridges are typical examples of a common Post-1945 concrete slab bridge found throughout Florida. This type of bridge was constructed as part of the massive expansion of the State's road system in the decades following the end of World War II (Parsons Brinckerhoff 2005). The bridges within the APE do not possess any notable engineering features or design elements that would differentiate them from dozens of similar examples built throughout Florida during the same time period. Background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events. Thus, due to the commonality of design and lack of significant attributes or association, 8HI15445, 8HI15446, and 8HI15447 do not appear eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Resource Groups: There are two (2) resource groups within the APE (8HI15448 and 8HI15449) (**Figure 13; Table 5**). These include two (2) building complexes, both of which are mobile home parks (8HI15448 and 8HI15449). Only the portions of the resource groups that are located within the APE were recorded, as a survey of the entire resource groups is beyond the scope of work for this project. No contributing resources for the Spanish Main Mobile Home Park (MHP) (8HI15449) located within the APE are accessible from the public ROW. The historic building that contributes to the Green Oaks MHP (8HI15448) is a representative mobile home. All contributing buildings listed below are typical examples of their respective styles and construction.

Table 5. Historic Building Complex Resource Groups within the US 301 APE.

FMSF No.	Address/ Name	No. Contrib. Buildings	Build Dates	Style(s)
8HI15448	12073 Emory Oak Loop/ Green Oaks MHP	1 (8HI15455)	ca. 1969	Mobile Home / No Style (8HI15455)
8HI15449	12110 Spanish Main Resort Trail/ Spanish Main MHP	none within the APE	ca. 1973	N/A

Non-accessible Resources

In addition to the 17 historic resources identified within the APE, the Hillsborough County property appraiser identified two historic resources that could not be evaluated or recorded during the field survey due to lack of accessibility and/or obstructed views from the ROW (**Figure 19**). These are two ca. 1973 buildings located at 14224 N US 301 and 12541 Avery Road. The building at 14224 N US 301 is on a residential lot that is set back from the roadway, lined with fencing, and obscured by vegetation and non-historic outbuildings. The building at 12541 Avery Road is surrounded by adjacent buildings, a fence, and heavily overgrown vegetation. Based on available information, these resources are probably typical examples of vernacular style buildings; however, because the resources are not visible or accessible from the ROW, the status and condition of the resources are unknown. Per the project Roadway Plans, no ROW acquisition is proposed in this area of US 301. The buildings are a considerable distance from the proposed improvements, 110-ft to 170-ft respectively, and are hidden by existing vegetation on the parcels.




Figure 19. Location of two inaccessible ca. 1973 historic resources.

8. CONCLUSIONS

As a result of the archaeological field survey, [REDACTED] Given the low diversity of artifacts and the absence of subsurface features, the site, as it appears within the archaeological APE, has low research potential and is similar to other sites in the area which have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO.

The focus of the historical/architectural survey of the US 301 mainline corridor was to identify, record, and evaluate historic resources that were constructed between 1968 and 1974 or earlier that were not included in the previous 2017 CRAS since they were not yet 50 years old at the time. This is not a re-evaluation of the entire corridor, as such, previously recorded resources were not taken into account at the request of District Seven; that will occur at a later time. The historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification and evaluation of 17 historic resources (8HI15170, 8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15445 – 8HI15458) within the APE. These include 11 buildings (8HI15171, 8HI15172, 8HI15450, 8HI15451, 8HI15452, 8HI15453, 8HI15454, 8HI15455, 8HI15456, 8HI15457, and 8HI15458) constructed between ca. 1934 and ca. 1974, three building complex resource groups (8HI15170, 8HI15448, and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447).

Of the 17 historic resources identified within the APE, 14 appear ineligible for individual listing in the NRHP (8HI15445 – 8HI15458). These include nine buildings (8HI15450 - 8HI15458), two building complex resource groups (8HI15448 and 8HI15449), and three bridges (8HI15445, 8HI15446, 8HI15447). The buildings are common examples of their respective architectural style that have been altered and the building complex resource groups are of common examples of mobile home parks found throughout Florida that lack unique design features and characteristics. The bridges are common examples of concrete slab bridges constructed in ca. 1972 that do not possess any notable engineering features or design elements that would differentiate them from dozens of similar examples built throughout Florida during the same time period. In addition, background research did not reveal any historic associations with significant persons and/or events; therefore, none appear eligible for listing in the NRHP.

A total of three historic resources within the APE appear eligible for listing in the NRHP. These include the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170) with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172). These resources are significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading to the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are examples of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and shed light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, State Road Department Camp No. 8502 Resource Group (8HI15170), Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171), and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172) appear eligible for individual listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of a prison road camp commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

At the location of the potentially eligible resources, SMF 13A is located within the boundary of the resource group; however, the contributing resources are located over 200-ft from the proposed pond footprint. In addition, approximately 28-ft of ROW acquisition is proposed where clearing and grubbing is expected to accommodate a 12-ft shared-use path. The removal of vegetation should be minimal and should not change the views to or from US 301 and the resource group. The proposed project will not impact the use or the current and future access to this historic property. Based on the scope of work, the proposed project will not further alter the existing aesthetic conditions of the State

Road Department Camp No. 8502 resource group (8HI15170), nor will it further alter the setting in a negative way that will diminish or destroy the qualities and characteristics for which these properties are considered eligible for listing in the NRHP. The property appears significant under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture. As such, the findings suggest *no adverse effect* to the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group (8HI15170) with two contributing resources, Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 (8HI15171) and Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall (8HI15172).

9. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Advisory Council on Historic Preservation

- n.d. Meeting the “Reasonable and Good Faith” Identification Standard in Section 106 Review. Accessed at http://www.achp.gov/docs/reasonable_good_faith_identification.pdf.

Archaeological Consultants, Inc.

- 2017 Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56, Project Development and Environment Study, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota. Survey No. 24187.
- 2021a Preliminary Cultural Resource Assessment Probability Analysis Technical Memorandum Proposed Stormwater Management Facilities (SMF) and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites Us 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida. ACI, Sarasota.
- 2021b Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum Preferred Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) & Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida. ACI, Florida. Survey No. 28091.
- 2021c Cultural Resource Effects Determination Letter, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 PD&E Study, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida. ACI, Florida.

Bullen, Ripley P.

- 1975 *A Guide to the Identification of Florida Projectile Points*. Kendall Books, Gainesville.

Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT)

- 2015 ETDM Report #14194, Tallahassee.
- 2020 *Project Development and Environment Manual, Part 2, Chapter 8, Archaeological and Historical Resources*. Florida Department of Transportation, Tallahassee. <https://www.fdot.gov/environment/pubs/pdeman/pdeman1.shtm>.

Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)

- n.d. Florida Master Site File Form Information.
- 2003 *Cultural Resource Management Standards and Operational Manual*. FDHR, Tallahassee.

McAlester, Virginia Savage

- 2013 *A Field Guide to American Houses: The Definitive Guide to Identifying and Understanding America's Domestic Architecture*. Alfred A. Knopf, New York.

The Miami News

- 1942 “State Pushes Probe of 13 Maimed Convicts.” *The Miami News*, July 15, 1942. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

- Murray, J. A.
1945 "Tribune Reporter Finds 'Deluxe Sweat-Box' At State Convict Camp Northeast of Tampa." *The Tampa Tribune*, September 23, 1945. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.
- Parsons Brinckerhoff and Engineering and Industrial Heritage
2005 A Context for Common Historic Bridge Types. Manuscript on file, National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Transportation Research Council, National Research Council.
- Parsons, Timothy
2017 SHPO Concurrence No. 2015-345B: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey of US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56, Project Development and Environment Study, Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida.
2022a SHPO Concurrence No. 2015-345C: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum Preferred Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) & Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida.
2022b SHPO Concurrence No. 2015-345D: Cultural Resource Effects Determination Letter, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 PD&E Study, Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida.
- Purdy, Barbara A.
1981 *Florida's Prehistoric Stone Tool Technology*. University Press of Florida, Gainesville.
- Roberts, Leonard H.
1981 A History of Inmate Rehabilitation Through Education in the Florida State Correctional System: 1868 – 1980. University of Florida. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00099097/00001/1x>.
- The Tampa Times
1934 "Site Offered for New Park – 300-Acre Thomas Tract Is Recommended by State Forester." *The Tampa Times*, May 28, 1934. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.
- The Tampa Tribune
1934 "Road Crews at Work." *The Tampa Tribune*, January 19, 1934. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.
1955 "New Pasco Road Camp is Opened." *The Tampa Tribune*, October 4, 1955. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)
1941 Aerial Photograph. 2-15-41, CTT-8B-11. PALMM, Gainesville.
1952 *Soil Survey of Hillsborough County, Florida*. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.
1982 *Soil Survey of Pasco County, Florida*. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.
1989 *Soil Survey of Hillsborough County, Florida*. USDA, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

- 1943 Thonotosassa, Fla.
- 1974 Thonotosassa, Fla. (Photo Revised, 1987).
- 2003 Plant City West, Fla.
- 2013 Thonotosassa, Fla.
- 2013 Zephyrhills, Fla.

United States Prison Industries Reorganization Administration (USPIRA)

- 1938 The Prison Problem in Florida – A Survey by The Prison Industries Reorganization Administration. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://books.google.com/>.

White, Anta M.

- 1963 Analytic Description of the Chipped-stone Industry from Snyders Site, Calhoun County, Illinois. *Miscellaneous Studies in Typology and Classification* 19. Anthropological Papers, Museum of Anthropology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor.

Draft

Draft

APPENDIX A
SHPO Correspondence



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

MIKE DEW
SECRETARY

June 29, 2017

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attention: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

**Re: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS)
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56 PD&E Study
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1**

RECEIVED
BUREAU OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
2017 JUN 30 A 9:25

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for US 301 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to determine alternative roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (State Road [SR] 582) in Hillsborough County to proposed SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The purpose of the PD&E Study is to document the need for additional capacity within the study corridor and to evaluate the costs and impacts associated with providing this additional capacity. This is a state funded project; federal funds are not planned to be used for the project.

The proposed action involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. This improvement is necessary to provide additional capacity to accommodate the future travel demand that will be generated by the projected population and employment growth in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. US 301 is a major north-south roadway that traverses both counties, and provides connectivity to many of Florida's major roadways including I-4, I-75, SR 54, and SR 52. This roadway is a vital link in the regional transportation network and also serves as an emergency evacuation route.

Enclosed is one (1) copy of the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) that was prepared for the above referenced project. Also enclosed are 40 new and updated Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8HI43, 8HI305, 8HI3890-8HI3894, 8HI6940, 8HI11700-8HI11703, 8HI12137, 8HI13503-8HI13520, 8HI13526, 8HI13597-8HI13602, 8PA2675 and 8PA02976), a Survey Log, and a CD containing these documents.

The purpose of this CRAS was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE) and to assess, to the extent possible, their significance regarding eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological APE consists of the existing and any proposed right-of-way (ROW) that would be needed to implement the proposed project. The historic/architectural APE consists of the archaeological APE and the property parcels within 200 feet (ft.) of the proposed ROW.

Background research revealed that there are six archaeological sites (8HI00043, 8HI00305, 8HI00405, 8HI00494, 8HI5929, and 8HI6940) within or adjacent to the APE. Additionally, the NRHP-listed archaeological site, 8HI00112 (Fort Foster), is located just east of the archaeological APE. Through the development of the research design, 40 areas of high and moderate archaeological potential were identified. As a result of the archaeological field survey, three previously recorded archaeological sites (8HI00043, 8HI00305, and 8HI06940), five new archaeological sites (8HI13597-8HI13599, 8HI13601, and 8HI13602), one linear resource (8HI13600/8PA02976), and seven archaeological occurrences (AOs) were identified within the project APE. None of the previously or newly recorded resources is considered eligible for listing in the NRHP due to their low research potential or lack of integrity.

As a result of the architectural field survey, a total of 30 historic resources were identified within the project APE. Of these, 11 were previously recorded and 19 were newly identified. The 11 previously recorded resources (8HI3890-8HI3894, 8HI12137, 8PA2675, 8HI11700-8HI11703) include nine buildings and two resource groups, while the 19 newly recorded resources (8HI13503-8HI13520, 8HI13526) include 18 buildings and a building complex resource group. The newly recorded buildings are all typical examples of the Masonry Vernacular and Frame Vernacular architectural styles built between 1920 and 1967. All lack noteworthy architectural or design attributes, and limited research did not reveal any significant historic associations to persons or events. In addition, the area these buildings are in lacks the potential to be considered a historic district. As such, none is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Newly recorded building complex resource group 8HI13526 is known as "HRSP New Deal Resources" and is comprised of five previously recorded resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) clustered together near the auxiliary entrance to the Hillsborough River State Park (HRSP) slightly north of the main entrance. These five resources, which include two sheds, a ranger's residence, an interpretive center and a fire tower retain a great deal of integrity and represent excellent examples of Rustic and Industrial Vernacular architecture, New Deal planning, and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) construction methods in the state of Florida. Thus, the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526) and the previously recorded buildings it is comprised of (8HI3890-8HI3894) are eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture.

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56 PD&E Study
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
June 29, 2017
Page 3 of 3

procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

This CRAS will also be submitted by the FDOT Office of Environmental Management (OEM) to the federally recognized Native American Tribes of Florida for their concurrent review. By copy of this letter, this CRAS is also being submitted to the Hillsborough River State Park for review.

Provided you approve the recommendations and findings in the enclosed cultural resource document, please sign below for concurrence. If you have any questions, or if I may be of assistance, please contact me at (813) 975-6496 or robin.rhinesmith@dot.state.fl.us or contact Rebecca Spain Schwarz at (813)-281-8308 or rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com.

Sincerely,




Robin M. Rhinesmith
Environmental Administrator

Enclosures

cc: Erika Thompson, FDOT OEM
Lilliam Escalera, FDOT
Brian Pinson, Hillsborough River State Park/FDEP
Rebecca Spain Schwarz, Atkins
Roy Jackson, FDOT OEM
Bob Finck, AIM
Marion Almy, ACI

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)/Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) finds the attached Cultural Resource Assessment Survey complete and sufficient and concurs/ does not concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number 2015-345B. Or, the SHPO/FDHR finds the attached report contains _____ insufficient information.

SHPO/FDHR Comments:


Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources

7/27/2017
Date



Florida Department of Transportation

RON DESANTIS
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive
Tampa, FL 33612-6456

KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E.
SECRETARY

February 7, 2022

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, Florida 32399-0250

Attn: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

RE: **Cultural Resource Effects Determination Letter**
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 PD&E Study
Work Program Item (WPI) Segment No.: 255796-1
Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR)No.: 2015-345B
Counties: Hillsborough & Pasco

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven, is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for US 301 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to determine alternative roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (SR 582) in Hillsborough County to SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The purpose of the US 301 PD&E study is to document the need for additional capacity within the study corridor and to evaluate the costs and impacts associated with providing this additional capacity. The proposed improvements involve widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. This improvement is necessary to provide additional capacity to accommodate the future travel demand that will be generated by the projected population and employment growth in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. This is a state funded project, and it is expected to have an "Individual 404" permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

As part of the PD&E Study, a *Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) Report* was prepared in June 2017, on behalf of the FDOT, District Seven, by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) of Sarasota, Florida, in association with AIM Engineering & Surveying. The objective of the CRAS was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the project's Area of Potential Effects (APE) and to assess, to the extent possible, their significance as per the criteria of eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological APE was defined as the existing and proposed right-of-way (ROW); the historical/architectural APE included the footprint of construction within the existing ROW and immediately adjacent property parcels within 200 feet (ft) of the ROW.

As a result of the CRAS, the Hillsborough River State Park (HRSP) New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) were identified within the APE and were determined eligible for listing in the NRHP. The buildings are clustered together near the auxiliary entrance to the HRSP slightly north of the main entrance. These five resources, which include a fire tower (8HI03890), two sheds (8HI03891 & 8HI03892), a ranger's residence (8HI03893), and

an interpretive center (8HI03894) retain a great deal of integrity and represent excellent examples of Rustic and Industrial Vernacular architecture, New Deal planning, and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) construction methods in the state of Florida. As such, the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) are eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture. The State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) concurred with the findings of the CRAS on July 27, 2017 (FDHR Project File No. 2015-345B) (**Attachment A**).

Furthermore, a copy of the CRAS was also submitted to the HRSP in 2017; however, no comments were received from the HRSP. Additional coordination with the HRSP and the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) staff during the PD&E Study included two meetings in 2015 and 2017. See **Attachment B** for a copy of the 2015 and 2017 meeting minutes.

The objective of this Effects Determination Letter is to analyze the potential effects (primary and secondary) of the proposed undertaking to the historic properties located within the project APE. Although this is a state funded project and follows Chapter 267 Florida Statutes, a USACE permit is anticipated; therefore, this effects determination is also being conducted in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (Public Law 89-665), as amended. As such, 36 CFR Part 800 was used to evaluate the effects. This information is provided so that the FDOT, District 7 and SHPO can determine if the proposed undertaking will have an adverse effect or not on the aforementioned historic properties.

Since the original CRAS report was reviewed by the SHPO in July 2017, FDOT has made minor changes to the project corridor typical section between Stacy Road and SR 56. The minor change includes 5-ft paved outside shoulders instead of 12-ft paved outside shoulders; and the addition of a 12-ft shared use path on the west side of the roadway rather than 7-ft buffered bike lanes. Location maps of the project corridor, location of the historic properties, the revised Typical Section, and the November 2021 Concept Plans are included in **Attachment C**. The proposed ROW will maintain the same 235-ft width as described in the 2017 CRAS.

The FDOT, District 7 has applied the Criteria of Adverse Effects found in 36 CFR Part 800.5 to the historic properties determined eligible for listing in the NRHP located within the APE. This letter provides information for consultation with the SHPO and FDOT. ACI conducted a site visit on August 20, 2021, to identify and analyze the project direct and indirect effects to the NRHP eligible building complex (8HI13526) and the five previously recorded resources which comprise the resource group (8HI03890-8HI03894). As a result of the site visit, all buildings are still extant, and no significant alterations were observed since the properties were determined NRHP-eligible in 2017 (**Attachment D**). The scope of work at this location includes a majority of the proposed widening (206-ft) will be constructed on the east side of the existing ROW to accommodate an additional two lanes of roadway. Proposed widening on the west side of US 301 includes an additional 39-ft of ROW to accommodate a 12-ft shared-use path. The resource group is located on the west side of US 301 adjacent to the southbound lane which will utilize the existing pavement. The additional proposed ROW will not touch the resource group boundaries.

The existing setting of the HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) remains unchanged in relation to US 301. In the area adjacent to the resource group, US 301 is a two-lane rural roadway with the exception of a merge lane that was added to the northbound lane on the east side of US 301. The view from the resource group toward US 301 is currently blocked by dense vegetation and trees. The proposed changes in the area of the state park include ROW acquisition where clearing and grubbing is expected to accommodate a 12-ft shared-use path.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022
Page 3 of 4

The removal of vegetation should be minimal and should not change the views to or from US 301 and the resource group. The proposed project will not impact the use or the current and future access to this historic property. In addition, the Noise Study Report for the PD&E study indicated that there were no noise impacts to the HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526).

Based on the scope of work, the proposed project will not further alter the existing aesthetic conditions of the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526), nor will it further alter the setting in a negative way that will diminish or destroy the qualities and characteristics for which these properties are eligible for listing in the NRHP. The property is significant under Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture. As such, the findings suggest **No Adverse Effect** to the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources (8HI03890-8HI03894). See **Attachment E** for a copy of the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526) Florida Master Site File (FMSF) form.

If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact me at (813) 975-6637 or email me at crystal.geiger@dot.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Crystal Geiger

Crystal Geiger
District Seven Environmental Manager

Cc: Amber Russo, FDOT
Deena Woodward, OEM
Bob Finck, AIM
Kyle Easley, Hillsborough River State Park
Maranda Kles, ACI
Robin Rhinesmith, FDOT
Brittany Bianco, OEM
Sarah Guagnini, Atkins

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) finds this Effects Determination Coordination Letter and attached information complete and sufficient and concurs/ does not concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number 2015-345D. Or, the SHPO finds the attached contains insufficient information.

SHPO Comments:

Kelly L Chase
For

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources

02/17/2022

Date

Attachments:

- Attachment A: SHPO Concurrence Letter (July 27, 2017)
- Attachment B: HRSP/Florida Department of Environmental Protection meeting minutes (2015 & 2017)
- Attachment C: Project Maps, Typical Sections, and November 2021 Concept Plans
- Attachment D: Site Visit Photographs (August 20, 2021)
- Attachment E: HRSP New Deal Resources Resource Group (8HI13526) FMSF Form

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022

Attachment A:
SHPO Concurrence Letter (July 27, 2017)

Draft



Florida Department of Transportation

RICK SCOTT
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Drive
Tampa, Florida 33612-6456

MIKE DEW
SECRETARY

June 29, 2017

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attention: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

**Re: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS)
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56 PD&E Study
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1**

RECEIVED
BUREAU OF
HISTORIC PRESERVATION
2017 JUN 30 A 9:25

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for US 301 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to determine alternative roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (State Road [SR] 582) in Hillsborough County to proposed SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The purpose of the PD&E Study is to document the need for additional capacity within the study corridor and to evaluate the costs and impacts associated with providing this additional capacity. This is a state funded project; federal funds are not planned to be used for the project.

The proposed action involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. This improvement is necessary to provide additional capacity to accommodate the future travel demand that will be generated by the projected population and employment growth in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties. US 301 is a major north-south roadway that traverses both counties, and provides connectivity to many of Florida's major roadways including I-4, I-75, SR 54, and SR 52. This roadway is a vital link in the regional transportation network and also serves as an emergency evacuation route.

Enclosed is one (1) copy of the Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) that was prepared for the above referenced project. Also enclosed are 40 new and updated Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8HI43, 8HI305, 8HI3890-8HI3894, 8HI6940, 8HI11700-8HI11703, 8HI12137, 8HI13503-8HI13520, 8HI13526, 8HI13597-8HI13602, 8PA2675 and 8PA02976), a Survey Log, and a CD containing these documents.

The purpose of this CRAS was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE) and to assess, to the extent possible, their significance regarding eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological APE consists of the existing and any proposed right-of-way (ROW) that would be needed to implement the proposed project. The historic/architectural APE consists of the archaeological APE and the property parcels within 200 feet (ft.) of the proposed ROW.

Background research revealed that there are six archaeological sites (8HI00043, 8HI00305, 8HI00405, 8HI00494, 8HI5929, and 8HI6940) within or adjacent to the APE. Additionally, the NRHP-listed archaeological site, 8HI00112 (Fort Foster), is located just east of the archaeological APE. Through the development of the research design, 40 areas of high and moderate archaeological potential were identified. As a result of the archaeological field survey, three previously recorded archaeological sites (8HI00043, 8HI00305, and 8HI06940), five new archaeological sites (8HI13597-8HI13599, 8HI13601, and 8HI13602), one linear resource (8HI13600/8PA02976), and seven archaeological occurrences (AOs) were identified within the project APE. None of the previously or newly recorded resources is considered eligible for listing in the NRHP due to their low research potential or lack of integrity.

As a result of the architectural field survey, a total of 30 historic resources were identified within the project APE. Of these, 11 were previously recorded and 19 were newly identified. The 11 previously recorded resources (8HI3890-8HI3894, 8HI12137, 8PA2675, 8HI11700-8HI11703) include nine buildings and two resource groups, while the 19 newly recorded resources (8HI13503-8HI13520, 8HI13526) include 18 buildings and a building complex resource group. The newly recorded buildings are all typical examples of the Masonry Vernacular and Frame Vernacular architectural styles built between 1920 and 1967. All lack noteworthy architectural or design attributes, and limited research did not reveal any significant historic associations to persons or events. In addition, the area these buildings are in lacks the potential to be considered a historic district. As such, none is considered potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP, either individually or as part of a historic district.

Newly recorded building complex resource group 8HI13526 is known as "HRSP New Deal Resources" and is comprised of five previously recorded resources (8HI03890-8HI03894) clustered together near the auxiliary entrance to the Hillsborough River State Park (HRSP) slightly north of the main entrance. These five resources, which include two sheds, a ranger's residence, an interpretive center and a fire tower retain a great deal of integrity and represent excellent examples of Rustic and Industrial Vernacular architecture, New Deal planning, and Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) construction methods in the state of Florida. Thus, the HRSP New Deal Resources resource group (8HI13526) and the previously recorded buildings it is comprised of (8HI3890-8HI3894) are eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture.

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to Proposed SR 56 PD&E Study
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
June 29, 2017
Page 3 of 3

procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

This CRAS will also be submitted by the FDOT Office of Environmental Management (OEM) to the federally recognized Native American Tribes of Florida for their concurrent review. By copy of this letter, this CRAS is also being submitted to the Hillsborough River State Park for review.

Provided you approve the recommendations and findings in the enclosed cultural resource document, please sign below for concurrence. If you have any questions, or if I may be of assistance, please contact me at (813) 975-6496 or robin.rhinesmith@dot.state.fl.us or contact Rebecca Spain Schwarz at (813)-281-8308 or rebecca.spain-schwarz@atkinsglobal.com.

Sincerely,




Robin M. Rhinesmith
Environmental Administrator

Enclosures

cc: Erika Thompson, FDOT OEM
Lilliam Escalera, FDOT
Brian Pinson, Hillsborough River State Park/FDEP
Rebecca Spain Schwarz, Atkins
Roy Jackson, FDOT OEM
Bob Finck, AIM
Marion Almy, ACI

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO)/Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) finds the attached Cultural Resource Assessment Survey complete and sufficient and concurs/ does not concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number 2015-345B. Or, the SHPO/FDHR finds the attached report contains _____ insufficient information.

SHPO/FDHR Comments:


Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources

7/27/2017
Date

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022

Attachment B:
HRSP/Florida Department of Environmental Protection meeting minutes (2015 & 2017)

MEETING MINUTES



**AIM Engineering
& Surveying, Inc.**

Tampa Office
3802 Corporex Park Drive
Suite 225
Tampa, Florida 33619

813-627-4144
888-627-4144
Fax: 813-664-1899

Successfully providing our clients and the community with quality planning, engineering and surveying services since 1980.

Date: January 20, 2017 at 2:00 PM at the Hillsborough River State Park Recreation Hall
15402 US 301 N., Thonotosassa, Florida.

Subject: Small group meeting: FPID# 255796-1: US 301 PD&E Study from Fowler Avenue to
Proposed SR 56 PD&E study presentation
WPI Segment No. 255796-1
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties

Attendees: Lilliam Escalera – FDOT
Diane Martin – Florida Department of Environmental Protection (DEP) Office of Park
Planning, Division of Recreation and Parks (DRP)
Sine Murray - DEP Office of Park Planning, DRP
Ezell Givens - DEP Office of Park Planning, DRP
Brian Pinson - DEP Park Manager
Patricia Cross - DEP Assistant Park Manager
Bob Finck and Jeffrey Jacquin – AIM
(Sign in sheet attached)

Prepared by: Bob Finck, AIM (utilizing meeting notes prepared by Diane Martin, DEP)

The purpose of this meeting was to present the current project status and data associated with the evaluation of the engineering and environmental effects of the proposed widening of US 301 from an existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. As part of the Department's public involvement plan the solicitation of stakeholder input during this phase of the PD&E study is essential to assist the decision-making process as related to the proposed improvements. This meeting focused on the proposed project's potential effects on the Hillsborough River State Park.

Bob Finck, the consultant project manager, began the meeting by providing a brief overview of the project's history, beginning in June of 2015 and continuing to the present, as it relates to the current proposed typical sections and the concept development process. Mr. Finck then referred to a roll plot of the proposed concept showing the engineering details associated with the widening of US 301 including the proposed ROW, business and residential relocations, shared use path locations and utility concerns to assist with the discussion. A PowerPoint presentation was then shown to highlight details related to the proposed project's description (including access management and proposed typical sections) and need, the PD&E Study process, current project status, funding schedule, website location and FDOT contact information. Additional details included information related to Florida Gas Transmission (FGT) lines, the Old Fort King Trail and Hillsborough

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Bob Finck** at **(813) 574-0221** within seven days.

River State Park. More specific details of the conversation that occurred during the presentation are included below:

BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

- The proposed project begins in Hillsborough County at the intersection of US 301 and SR 582/Fowler Avenue.
- The proposed project ends in Pasco County at the intersection of US 301 and the proposed extension of SR 56, just south of Zephyrhills Correctional.
- The project proposes widening of US301 to a four-lane, divided highway.
- The proposed project will include pedestrian and bicycle facilities from Fowler Avenue to the proposed extension of SR56.
- FDOT District 7 will need an additional 135 feet of right-of-way (current r/w is 100') based on the proposed rural typical section from Stacy Road to proposed SR56.
- The proposed project will impact state park lands (on both sides of US 301 in some areas).
- FDOT is currently conducting a PD&E Study with a targeted completion scheduled for the fall of 2017.
- The design phase is currently funded for fiscal year 2019.
- The construction and right-of-way phases are currently not funded.
- There are not any Section 4(f) requirements associated with this project since it is not federally funded.
- The environmental document will be a State Environmental Impact Report (SEIR).
- Supporting environmental documentation will include a Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) that includes cultural resource assessment coordination with the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO), a Contamination Screening Evaluation Report (CSER) and a Natural Resources Evaluation (NRE).
- US301 is currently designated an Emergency Evacuation Route.

DISCUSSION ITEMS:

- DRP and FDOT discussed whether to move the shared use path to the park side of US301 (the roadway would have 7' wide paved shoulders). The shared use path is currently proposed to be 10'- 12' wide.
- FDOT stated they will be relocating the three wooden bridges associated with the Old Fort King Trail from their current location on the east side of US 301 to the park side of US301 (west side).
- DRP recommends fencing along the sidewalk near the Fort, from the gate to the bridge, to protect park property from trespassers.

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Bob Finck** at **(813) 574-0221** within seven days.

- DRP recommends installing an emergency access system, such as a traffic device/light and crosswalk, at the passenger tram crossover, which would effectively stop traffic during tram operations going back and forth across US301.
- DRP recommends several safety items be considered by FDOT, including installing a flashing sign before the bridge warning motorists of the upcoming tram crossover (current speed limit is 60 mph); possible reduction of vehicular speed in this area; possible connector access under the bridge (which was a safety solution at SR40); and construction of an overpass over US301, which would provide optimum safety for both tram passengers and motorists
- The DRP Park Manager will provide details on tram crossings (how many per day/busy season, etc.) and will coordinate with DRP's Office of Park Planning and FDOT.
- FDOT agrees to carefully analyze the design for a tram crossover and to coordinate the design with DRP.
- DRP recommends that FDOT obtain a traffic study to justify what type of improvements would be recommended at the tram crossover.
- DRP recommends that FDOT install a directional median cut at the park entrance to facilitate the entry and exit of park visitors in the safest possible manner.
- DRP suggests consideration of appropriate signage along the roadway to warn approaching motorists of active control burns and smoke.
- DRP and FDOT should work closely on the design of stormwater management facilities needed as part of the project. DRP recommends solutions that do not require the use of retention ponds within the park boundary and points out that any design would need to divert or minimize any additional flow into the park.
- FDOT informs DRP that roadside ditches would be constructed and a full drainage analysis would be completed during the PD&E Study. FDOT agrees to coordinate drainage plans with DRP.
- FDOT explains that the southern end of project (near Fowler Ave.) is problematic since FGT has existing gas lines along the east side of US301 (Fowler Ave. to south of Stacy Road – approximately 3 miles). The proposed design will either have to avoid this area or relocate the gas lines.
- DRP recommends that FDOT evaluate the need for wildlife crossing(s) and fencing during the PD&E Study, and that FDOT coordinate with FFWCC to obtain current data.
- DRP informs FDOT that the Division's Historic Preservationist would be reviewing the details of the proposed project as part of DRP's overall review.
- DRP explains that the approval process for FDOT to obtain the upland easement will be dependent upon compliance with the Board of Trustees' Linear Facilities Policy (attached). This includes FDOT satisfying the Policy's additional compensation requirement to provide replacement lands or goods and/or services to DRP based on 1.5 times the appraised value of the easement area.
- DRP confirms the lease between DRP and the County expires in April of 2022.

-- End of Minutes --

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Bob Finck** at **(813) 574-0221** within seven days.

MEETING MINUTES



**AIM Engineering
& Surveying, Inc.**

Tampa Office
3802 Corporex Park Drive
Suite 225
Tampa, Florida 33619

813-627-4144
888-627-4144
Fax: 813-664-1899

Successfully providing our clients and the community with quality planning, engineering and surveying services since 1980.

Date: August 21, 2015 at 11 am in the District Seven EMO Conference Room

Subject: FDEP Meeting regarding state lands adjacent to US 301
WPI Segment No. 255796-1
US 301 PD&E Study from Fowler Avenue to Future SR 56
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties

Attendee: see attached sign-in sheet

Prepared by: Bob Finck, AIM

Stephanie Pierce began the meeting with introductions of the attendees both in person and on the bridge line. The list of attendees is provided on the sign-in sheet attached to these minutes (attendees with check marks are those that participated via telephone).

Sean Donahoo explained the general details of the project including the proposed improvements from 2 to 4 lanes with a planned schedule of 18 months. He further explained the reason for this meeting today was to discuss the challenges involved with acquiring additional right-of-way (ROW) through several areas of the project corridor where state-owned lands are located on both sides of the roadway. In order to assist with creating the typical section package and alignment alternative we wanted to discuss the options available with DEP and FDOT staff at this early stage of the project. More specific details of the conversation are included below:

- US 301 currently rests within 100 feet of ROW and approximately another 100 feet will be needed for the improvement.
- Sheets 17-20 on the base map plan sheets are of most interest for this discussion since this is the Hillsborough River State Park (HRSP) area.
- Lew Scruggs detailed the historical significance of HRSP and pointed out that the entire park is actually a cultural resource with several historical structures (built by the CCC in the 1930's) and Fort Foster located on the property. He asked about the applicability of a Section 4(f) evaluation as part of the project.
- Kirk Bogen explained that Section 4(f) requirements would not apply since there will be no federal funding expected and the environmental document will be a SEIR. However, the cultural resource assessment will follow the state process which includes coordination with SHPO.

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Sean Donahoo** at **(813) 627-4144** within two days.

- Mr. Scruggs asked if it would be possible to split the ROW between each side of US 301 instead of taking 100 feet from only one side, and if so will the stormwater management facilities fit within the proposed ROW.
- Mr. Donahoo answered that yes, it would be possible to split especially if it involved only one land owner (such as this state property). He further explained that the SW facilities may fit if a linear design but most likely will need to be offsite.
- Patti Cross mentioned that the HRSP property currently drains to the Hillsborough River and reminded everyone to keep in mind that the HR is currently classified an Outstanding Florida Waterway.
- Ms. Cross said that upon preliminary review of the plan sheets it looks as though widening to the east would result in fewer impacts to the HRSP property since the old railroad bed runs parallel to US 301 through this area. However, there are a lot of potential archaeological materials in this area. If widening to the west, then the new roadway would be very close to the historic CCC structures, so may need to split (as mentioned earlier) in the Ranch Road area
- Todd Bogner cited the very wet nature of the HRSP lands along the west side of US 301 as a concern if widening to the west.
- Ms. Cross added that there are two large events held at the park annually that use the RR bed as a parking area so this would have to be re-assessed if used for the improvement since there is not enough parking available inside the park currently.
- Ms. Cross also mentioned that the park uses a tram during these events to transfer visitors to Fort Foster from the park via the Ranch Road entrance. This tram would have to cross four lanes of high speed traffic with the improvement project.
 - *Regarding the Trams crossing 301, we give tours of Fort Foster on a weekly basis, as often as every Wednesday (in the winter), Saturday and Sunday (year round) depending on weather. The trams do not run during the special events generally speaking.*
- Ms. Cross mentioned an existing lack of access to HRSP parcel U-08-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27640.0, located along the east side of US 301 in the vicinity of station 825 +00. She stated that this parcel is currently accessed via the adjacent Hickory Hills Land Company parcel U-08-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27610.0. She asked if access to the park will be maintained.
 - *There is actually a concern about access to our property on the East side of 301, both north of the river and south of Ranch Road (which is, I believe, the one you reference). Same issue on both is a lack of access, currently requiring us to coordinate entering private property first before accessing State Park lands. Our desire is that this project will allow us access directly off of 301 at two points, eliminating our need to pass through private lands.*
- Mr. Bogen replied that access to the HRSP will be maintained by this study's yet-to-be-determined recommended alternative.
- Matthew Hodges said that there are several listed plant species located on the east side near Model Dairy Road. One example is the endangered Brooksville Bellflower. He added that DEP has GIS layers of these locations that he would provide to the

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Sean Donahoo** at **(813) 627-4144** within two days.

consultant team for use on this project. He also said that they have the master site file for the historic properties in the park and would provide this as well.

- *I believe it was mentioned by DOT staff present that our copies of the master site files were unnecessary as they either already had them or had direct access to them through the Department of State, Division of Historic Resources. So it was my understanding that DEP would not be providing the site files.*
- Ms. Cross mentioned that the paved portion of the Old Fort King Trail is located on the east side of US 301 from a crossing at John B. Sargent Park to another crossing further north (2.2 miles), where it connects with 6.7 miles of unpaved trail through SWFWMD and HRSP land on the west side. She believes the paved portion may have been created through a Rails to Trails partnership with the county originally.
- Ms. Cross further added that SWFWMD maintains the trail on their section and the HRSP portion is managed by DEP. Most of the unpaved trail on the west side of US 301 is very wet most of the year.

Scheduled project events discussed:

- Public Workshop to be held in December 2015.
- Public Hearing to be held in the summer of 2016.

-- End of Minutes --

- *Italicized comments represent amendments to bullets # 11, 12 and 14 received by Patti Cross via e-mail on 9/16/15 for clarification and subsequent incorporation into these minutes.*

The meeting minutes contained herein represent the author's understanding of the discussions which occurred during the referenced meeting. Any attendee who does not entirely agree with the summary or can offer additional information that should be noted within these minutes, please call **Sean Donahoo** at **(813) 627-4144** within two days.



US 301 (GALL BOULEVARD)

FROM FOWLER AVENUE TO FUTURE SR 56

Project Development and Environment Study

Subject: Alignment Meeting with FDEP (Hillsborough River State Park)

Date: Aug 21, 2015 at 11 am

Name (please initial)	Organization	Phone and/or Email
Stephanie Pierce <i>SPC</i>	FDOT	Stephanie.Pierce@dot.state.fl.us
Nicole Selly <i>NS</i>	FDOT	Nicole.Selly@dot.state.fl.us
Todd Bogner <i>TB</i>	FDOT	Todd.Bogner@dot.state.fl.us
Kirk Bogen <i>KB</i>	FDOT	Kirk.Bogen@dot.state.fl.us
Robin Rhinesmith	FDOT	Robin.Rhinesmith@dot.state.fl.us
Sean Donahoo <i>SD</i>	AIM Engineering & Surveying	SDonahoo@aimengr.com
Bob Finck <i>BFinck</i>	AIM Engineering & Surveying	BFinck@aimengr.com
Jeffrey Jacquin <i>JJ</i>	AIM Engineering & Surveying	JJacquin@aimengr.com
^{on phone} Erik Fleming	AIM Engineering & Surveying	EFleming@aimengr.com
Patti Cross <i>PC</i>	Hillsborough River State Park/FDEP	Patricia.Cross@dep.state.fl.us
Lewis Scruggs	FDEP Office of Park Planning	Lewis.Scruggs@dep.state.fl.us
Ezell Givens	FDEP Office of Park Planning	Ezell.Givens@dep.state.fl.us
Sine Murray	FDEP Office of Park Planning	Sine.Murray@dep.state.fl.us
Ralph Perkins	FDEP Office of Park Planning	Ralph.Perkins@dep.state.fl.us
Inman Hartsfield	FDEP Office of Park Planning	Inman.Hartsfield@dep.state.fl.us



US 301 (GALL BOULEVARD)

FROM FOWLER AVENUE TO FUTURE SR 56

Project Development and Environment Study

Subject: Alignment Meeting with FDEP (Hillsborough River State Park)

Date: Aug 21, 2015 at 11 am

Name (please initial)

Matthew Hodge

Organization

FPS DEP

Phone and/or Email

Matthew.Hodge@dep.state.fl.us

Draft

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022

**Attachment C:
Project Maps, Typical Sections, and November 2021 Concept Plans**

Draft




Figure 1. Project Location Map.




Figure 2. Location of the HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526) and its five contributing resources.




Figure 3. Existing US 301 Typical Section





Figure 4. Proposed Rural Typical Section



T:\PROJECTS\7 - DISTRICT 7\07 US301 PD&E May-15\03 Engineering\Roadway\PLAN01 18.dgn 11/12/2021 11:51:31 AM bmendosa

AIM ENGINEERING & SURVEYING, INC.
 3802 CORPOREX PARK DRIVE, SUITE 225
 TAMPA, FLORIDA 33619
 TEL: (813) 627-4144
 FAX: (813) 664-1899 WWW.AIMENGR.COM

In Association with:
 Adams Traffic, Inc.
 Archaeological Consultants, Inc.
 Inwood Consulting Engineers, Inc.
 JAI Consulting Engineers, Inc.
 Kisinger Campo & Associates, Corp.

1400	Q CONSTRUCTION		SWFWMD LANDS		PROPOSED R/W LINE		PROPOSED SIDEWALK/ SHARED USE PATH
	PROPERTY LINE		STATE LANDS		EXISTING EASEMENT		POTENTIAL RELOCATION
	EXISTING R/W LINE		COUNTY LANDS		EXISTING ROADWAY TO REMAIN		B - BUSINESS; R - RESIDENTIAL
	BY OTHERS		POTENTIAL MED./HIGH CONTAMINATION SITE		PROPOSED ROADWAY		PROPOSED BRIDGE
	CSX TRANSPORTATION				PROPOSED STORMWATER MANAGEMENT FACILITY		PROPOSED FLOODPLAIN COMPENSATION AREA

US 301 (SR 41) PD&E STUDY
FROM FOWLER AVENUE
TO SOUTH OF SR 56
 COUNTY: HILLSBOROUGH & PASCO
 WORK PROGRAM SEGMENT NO. 255796-1



CONCEPT PLANS

SHEET NO.
18

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022

**Attachment D:
Site Visit Photographs (August 20, 2021)**

Draft



Photo 1. Representative view, HRSP New Deal Building Complex Resource Group (8HI13526), looking southwest.



Photo 2. Fire Tower (8HI03890), looking southwest.



Photo 3. Maintenance Shed 1 (8HI03891), looking southwest.



Photo 4. Maintenance Shed 2 (8HI03892), looking east.



Photo 5. Ranger's Residence (8HI03893), looking east.



Photo 6. Interpretive Center (8HI03894), looking northeast.

Timothy A. Parsons, Ph.D., Director
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56
Work Program Item Segment No.: 255796-1
February 7, 2022

Attachment E:
HRSP New Deal Resources Resource Group (8H13526) FMSF Form

Draft



RESOURCE GROUP FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 4.0 1/07

Site #8 HI13526
Field Date 11-17-2015
Form Date 1-6-2016
Recorder# 1

[X] Original
[] Update

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs). National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated to the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- [] Historic district (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
[] Archaeological district (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
[] Mixed district (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
[X] Building complex (NR category usually "building(s)": multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
[] Designed historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
[] Rural historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
[] Linear resource (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of rural historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

Resource Group Name HRSP New Deal Resources Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Project Name PD&E, US 301 Fowler to 56 FMSF Survey #
National Register Category (please check one): [X]building(s) []structure []district []site []object
Linear Resource Type (if applicable): []canal []railway []road []other (describe):
Ownership: []private-profit []private-nonprofit []private-individual []private-nonspecific []city []county [X]state []federal []Native American []foreign []unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Address: 15402 N US 301 Highway
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In Current City Limits? []yes [X]no []unknown
County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Hillsborough
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) Hillsborough River State Park
1) Township 27S Range 21E Section 8 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE Irregular-name:
2) Township Range Section 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE
3) Township Range Section 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE
4) Township Range Section 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE
USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name ZEPHYRHILLS USGS Date 1975
2) Name USGS Date

Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location)
Landgrant

Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map) Resources are within the boundaries of the Hillsborough River State Park, located in the northeast corner of Hillsborough County, 9 miles north of Tampa and 6 miles south of Zephyrhills on US Highway 301. Individually recorded as 8HI03890-8HI03894.

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, KEEPER - Determined eligible, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1938 [] approximately [x] year listed or earlier [] year listed or later
Architect/Designer(last name first): Builder(last name first): Civilian Conservation Corps
Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing 5 # of non-contributing
Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1925)
1. Depression/New Deal 1930-1940 3.
2. 4.
Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; fit a summary into 3 lines or attach supplementary sheets if needed) See continuation sheet.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[x] cultural resource survey [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (specify) USDA historic aerial photographs

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant) Publication of Archival Library & Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://susdl.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? [x] yes [] no [] insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.) See continuation sheet.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. Architecture 3. 5.
2. Community planning & development 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Photos, maps, field notes File or accession #'s P15077
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Thomas J. Wilson Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, FL 34240/941-379-6206/ACIFlorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES (name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or township-range-section if no address)
4 PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETScape OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources) Photos may be archival B&W prints OR digital image files. If submitting digital image files, they must be included on disk or CD AND in hard copy format (plain paper is acceptable). Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Narrative Description: The building complex resource group known as the HRSP New Deal Resources is comprised of four Rustic style buildings (a maintenance shed, a storage shed, a ranger’s residence, and an interpretive center) and one Industrial Vernacular fire tower built by the Civilian Conservation Corps (CCC) as part of Hillsborough River State Park between 1934-1938 and previously recorded as 8HI03890-8HI03894 (**Table 1**). The resource group boundaries are the proposed NRHP-eligible boundaries and contain the significant historic structures and access roads (**Figure 1**). Distinguishing architectural details include log walls, square-hewn timbers, and cypress plank siding (**Photos 1-5**). The five resources that comprise the HRSP New Deal Resources Resource Group are in proximity to the US 301 project APE.

Table 1. Previously Recorded Resources Comprising Resource Group 8HI13526

FMSF Number	Address	Year Built	Style	Function	SHPO Determination
8HI03890	Hillsborough River State Park	c. 1936	Masonry Vernacular	Fire Tower	Not Evaluated
8HI03891	Hillsborough River State Park	c. 1936	Rustic	Maintenance Shed 1	Not Evaluated
8HI03892	Hillsborough River State Park	c. 1936	Rustic	Maintenance Shed 2	Not Evaluated
8HI03893	Hillsborough River State Park	c. 1936	Rustic	Ranger’s Residence	Not Evaluated
8HI03894	Hillsborough River State Park	c. 1936	Rustic	Interpretive Center	Not Evaluated

The CCC was established in 1933 (Executive Order 6101) to enlist unemployed males for six months to work on federal and state lands for the “prevention of forest fires, floods and soil erosion, plant pest and disease control, the construction, maintenance or repair of paths trails and fire lanes and any incidental work.” The CCC began its work in Hillsborough State Park in 1934. Initially, the land along the river was cleared and decayed trees and underbrush were removed to make room for a swimming area and future building construction. The lands were terraced with limestone embankments to prevent erosion. Between 1934 and 1938, a caretaker’s cottage, support buildings, fire tower, residences for park personnel, and recreational facilities were built (**Figures 2 and 3**). The latter included a concession stand, picnic pavilions, barbecue pit, shelters and tables, entrance station, and suspension bridge. Five overnight cabins were also erected, but these have since been demolished (Adams et al. 1989). It cost \$0.25 a car to get in and boasted numerous trails along the bank of the river and through the inland hammocks. Over 70 species of trees and shrubs were identified for the visitors (FWP 1939:538).

CONTINUATION SHEET



Figure 1. Aerial view of Resource Group 8HI13526 showing proposed resource group boundary and location of contributing resources 8HI03890-8HI03894. Image courtesy Esri.



Photo 1. Fire Tower (8HI03890), facing southwest.

CONTINUATION SHEET



Photo 2. Maintenance Shed 1 (8HI03891), facing south.



Photo 3. Maintenance Shed 2 (8HI03892), facing east.

CONTINUATION SHEET



Photo 4. Ranger's Residence (8HI03893), facing east.



Photo Error! No text of specified style in document.. Interpretive Center (8HI03894), facing northeast.

CONTINUATION SHEET



Figure 2. 1941 aerial of Hillsborough River State Park (USDA 1941).




Figure 3. CCC facilities at Hillsborough River State Park (Adams et al. 1989).

CONTINUATION SHEET

Explanation of Evaluation: The five resources comprising the HRSP New Deal Resources building complex resource group retain a great deal of integrity and represent excellent examples of Rustic and Industrial Vernacular architecture, New Deal planning, and CCC construction methods in the state of Florida. As a result, the resource group is considered eligible for listing in the NRHP under National Register Criteria A and C in the areas of Social History, Engineering, and Architecture.

An additional 13 buildings/structures within the boundaries of Hillsborough River State Park, were constructed between 1957 and 2003 and are typical examples of the Frame and Masonry Vernacular architectural styles with no known significant historic associations or ties to the early period of construction associated with the CCC and the establishment of the park. None are in close proximity to US 301; they are located further west and will have no involvement with the proposed undertaking. A full evaluation of the entire HRSP and its NRHP eligibility is beyond the scope of this project.

References Used

- Adams, William R., Mildred Fryman, and Sidney Johnston
1989 Cultural Resource Survey of New Deal Era Resources in Nine Florida State Parks. Historic Property Associates, St. Augustine.
- FMSF
2017 Various site file forms. On file, FDHR, Tallahassee.
- FWP
1939 *Florida: A Guide to the Southernmost State*. Federal Writers' Project. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Henriquez, Bob
2015 Property Records Search. Hillsborough County Property Appraiser, Tampa. <http://www.hcpafl.org/>.
- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)
1941 Aerial Photograph -2-15-41, CTT-8B-12. On file, PALMM, Gainesville.



PHOTOGRAPH



AERIAL MAP






USGS

Zephyrhills

Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 08





Florida Department of Transportation

RON DESANTIS
GOVERNOR

11201 N. McKinley Dr.
Tampa, FL 33612-6456

KEVIN J. THIBAUT, P.E.
SECRETARY

February 7, 2022

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources
500 South Bronough Street
Tallahassee, FL 32399-0250

Attn: Alyssa McManus, Transportation Compliance Review Program

RE: Cultural Resource Assessment Survey Addendum Technical Memorandum
Preferred Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) & Floodplain
Compensation (FPC) Sites
US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 PD&E Study
Hillsborough and Pasco Counties, Florida.
Work Program Item (WPI) Segment No.: 255796-1
Florida Division of Historical Resources (DHR) Project File No.: 2015-345B

Dear Dr. Parsons:

The Florida Department of Transportation (FDOT), District Seven is conducting a Project Development and Environment (PD&E) Study for US 301 in Hillsborough and Pasco Counties to determine alternative roadway improvements along the corridor. The study limits are from Fowler Avenue (State Road [SR] 582) in Hillsborough County to SR 56 in Pasco County, a distance of approximately 13.1 miles. The purpose of the PD&E Study is to document the need for additional capacity within the study corridor and to evaluate the costs and impacts associated with providing this additional capacity. The project will require additional right-of-way (ROW) for the mainline and for offsite Stormwater Management Facility (SMF) and Floodplain Compensation (FPC) Sites. This is a state funded project, but it is expected to have an "Individual 404" permit from the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

The proposed action involves widening US 301 from the existing two-lane undivided roadway to a four-lane divided roadway. A Cultural Resource Assessment Survey (CRAS) was prepared in 2017 for the PD&E Study (Survey No. 24187). The CRAS document was submitted to the State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) who concurred with the findings on July 27, 2017 (DHR Project File No. 2015-345B). A CRAS Addendum has been prepared to evaluate the SMF and FPC sites.

Enclosed is the CRAS Addendum (November 2021) that was prepared for the 12 SMF and eight (8) FPC sites for the above referenced project. Also enclosed are three (3) updated and seven (7) new Florida Master Site File (FMSF) forms (8HI00043, 8HI00490, 8HI13597, and 8HI15143 - 8HI15149), a demolished resource letter, a survey log sheet and a CD containing an electronic version of these files.

The purpose of this CRAS was to locate and identify any archaeological sites and historic resources located within the project's Area of Potential Effect (APE) and to assess, to the extent possible, their significance regarding eligibility for listing in the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP). The archaeological APE is defined as the area contained within the footprint of proposed pond (SMF and FPC) sites. The historical APE includes the archaeological APE and immediately adjacent properties as contained within 100 feet (ft.).

This CRAS Addendum was prepared by Archaeological Consultants, Inc. (ACI) and was conducted in accordance with the requirements set forth in the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

The archaeological background research, which included a review of the FMSF, indicated that there are three previously recorded archaeological sites wholly or partially within three of the pond sites (8HI00490/FPC 1; 8HI13597/FPC 4; and 8HI00043/SMF 5B). In addition, 31 additional archaeological sites are within one half mile. The pond sites have a variable archaeological potential: low, low to moderate, moderate, or high potential for the discovery of additional archaeological sites or for evidence of previously recorded sites. Once fieldwork began, the archaeological potential for several of the pond sites was downgraded because of current field conditions.

As a result of the archaeological field survey, which included ground surface reconnaissance and the systematic excavation of 332 shovel test pits, additional evidence of two of the previously recorded sites was found (8HI13597/FPC 4 [lithic scatter] and 8HI00043/SMF 5B [artifact scatter]), four new archaeological sites (all lithic scatters) were found in four of the pond sites (8HI15152/SMF 4A, 8HI15153/SMF 6C, 8HI15154/FPC3, 8HI15155/FPC2) and two Archaeological Occurrences (AO) were found. Previously recorded site 8HI00043 was evaluated by SHPO as ineligible, 8HI00490 was not evaluated, and 8HI13597 was evaluated as having insufficient information. ACI concurs with the SHPO that the part of 8HI00043 within the APE is ineligible for listing in the NRHP. Although 8HI00490 was not evaluated by the SHPO, ACI found no evidence of the site within the archaeological APE and recommends that the portion of the site within the APE is not eligible for listing in the NRHP. ACI considers the portion of 8HI13597 that is within the APE not eligible for listing in the NRHP. Given the low diversity and the absence of subsurface features, the sites, as they appear within the archaeological APE, have low research potential and are similar to other sites in the area which have been determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO.

Historical/architectural background research, including a review of the previous US 301 PD&E Study CRAS, the FMSF and the NRHP, indicated that five historic resources (8HI11701, 8HI11702; 8HI13507; 8HI13600, and 8HI12137/8PA02675) were previously recorded within and/or adjacent to the proposed pond sites. These include two linear resources, an abandoned segment of the Tampa & Thonotosassa Railroad (8HI13600) and US 301 (8HI12137/8PA02675), and three Masonry Vernacular style buildings (8HI11701, 8HI11702, and 8HI13507) constructed between circa (ca.) 1955 and 1958. These resources were determined ineligible for listing in the NRHP by the SHPO.

The historical/architectural field survey resulted in the identification of 11 historic resources (constructed in 1975 or earlier) within the APE. These include four previously recorded resources (8HI11701 & 8HI13507 adjacent to SMF 1A, 8HI13600 adjacent to SMF 5B & easement, and 8HI12137/8PA02675 adjacent to FPC 3, 4, 5, 6, and 8 and SMF 12A & 13A) and seven newly identified resources (8HI15143-8HI15149). The newly recorded historic resources include one building complex resource group (mobile home park) (8HI15145) adjacent to SMF 3A & easement, three buildings (8HI15143 adjacent to FPC 1 & easement, 8HI15144 adjacent to SMF 3A, and 8HI15148 within FPC 3), and three mobile homes (8HI15146 & 8HI15147 adjacent to SMF 3A & easement, and 8HI15149 within FPC 5). These resources were constructed between ca. 1945 and ca. 1975. Overall, the historic buildings and the building complex resource group are of common design and construction and lack significant historical associations to persons or events. Therefore, the historic resources within the APE appear ineligible for listing in the NRHP. Furthermore, no significant alterations were observed to the extant previously recorded resources since they were last recorded; therefore, these resources were not updated during this survey. In addition, one previously recorded historic resource, located at 9864 Rockhill Road (8HI11702), was confirmed as demolished within proposed pond site SMF 1A. A FMSF form was prepared for the newly identified resources and a letter was prepared for the demolished resource.

Given the results of background research and field survey no prehistoric or historic archaeological sites or historic resources that are listed, eligible for listing, or that appear potentially eligible for listing in the NRHP were located within the APE for the SMF and FPC sites. Therefore, the proposed project SMF and FPC sites will result in no historic properties affected. However, the overall project will result in no adverse effect to historic properties. See separate Effects Determination Letter being submitted concurrently that evaluated and discusses proposed project effects on the NRHP-eligible Hillsborough River State Park New Deal Resources building complex resource group (8HI13526).

This information is being provided in accordance with the provisions of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (as amended), which are implemented by the procedures contained in 36 CFR, Part 800, as well as in accordance with the provisions contained in the revised Chapter 267, *Florida Statutes*.

The enclosed cultural resource document is provided for your review and concurrence. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to call me at 813.975.6637 or crystal.geiger@dot.state.fl.us.

Sincerely,

Crystal Geiger

Crystal Geiger
Environmental Specialist III
Cultural Resource Coordinator

Enclosures

cc: Amber Russo, FDOT
Brittany Bianco, FDOT OEM
Bob Finck, AIM
Sarah Guagnini, Atkins
Robin Rhinesmith, FDOT
Deena Woodward, FDOT OEM
Maranda Kles, ACI
Kyle Easley, Hillsborough River State Park

The Florida State Historic Preservation Officer (SHPO) finds the attached Cultural Resources Assessment Survey Addendum complete and sufficient and concurs/ does not concur with the recommendations and findings provided in this cover letter for SHPO/FDHR Project File Number 2015-345C. Or, the SHPO finds the attached document contains insufficient information.

SHPO Comments:

Kelly L Chase
For

Dr. Timothy Parsons, Director
State Historic Preservation Officer
Florida Division of Historical Resources

02/15/2022

Date

Draft

APPENDIX B
Previous Historic Resource Results (2017 & 2021)

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.1: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.2: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.3: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.4: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.5: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.6: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.7: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.8: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.9: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.10: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.11: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.12: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.13: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.




Figure 5.14: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**





Figure 5.15: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.






Figure 5.17: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.18: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.19: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.20: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.




Figure 5.21: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.22: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.23: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.24: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.25: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.26: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.27: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.28: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.

**SECTION 5.0
SURVEY RESULTS**




Figure 5.29: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.




Figure 5.30: Survey results of the US 301 PD&E Study Corridor.



Figure 26. Location of historic resources within the APE.




Figure 27. Location of historic resources within the APE.




Figure 28. Location of historic resources within the APE.




Figure 29. Location of historic resources within the APE.

Draft

APPENDIX C
FMSF Forms



ARCHAEOLOGICAL SITE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site # HI15394
Field Date 4-14-2023
Form Date 4-19-2023
Recorder #

Original
Update

Consult Guide to Archaeological Site Form for detailed instructions

Site Name(s) SMF 6C Site Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Project Name US 301 Ponds Fowler to SR 56 Survey # (DHR only)
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 2013 Plat or Other Map
City/Town (within 3 miles) Zephyrhills In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 20E Section 36 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
Township Range Section 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
Landgrant Tax Parcel #
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting Northing
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Address / Vicinity / Route to:
Site is located between US 301 and Beasley Lane

Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) NA

TYPE OF SITE (select all that apply)

SETTING: Land (terrestrial) Wetland (palustrine) usually flooded usually dry Cave/Sink (subterranean) terrestrial aquatic
STRUCTURES OR FEATURES: log boat agric/farm building burial mound building remains cemetery/grave dump/refuse earthworks (historic) fort midden mill mission mound, nonspecific plantation platform mound road segment shell midden shell mound shipwreck subsurface features surface scatter well
FUNCTION: campsite extractive site habitation (prehistoric) homestead (historic) farmstead village (prehistoric) town (historic) quarry (prehistoric)
Other Features or Functions (Choose from the list or type a response.)
1. Lithic Scatter/quarry 2.

CULTURE PERIODS (select all that apply)

ABORIGINAL: Alachua Archaic (nonspecific) Archaic, Early Archaic, Middle Archaic, Late Belle Glade Cades Pond Caloosahatchee Deptford Englewood Fort Walton Glades (nonspecific) Glades I Glades II Glades III Hickory Pond Leon-Jefferson Malabar I Malabar II Manasota Mississippian Mount Taylor Norwood Orange Paleoindian Pensacola Perico Island Safety Harbor St. Augustine St. Johns (nonspecific) St. Johns I St. Johns II Santa Rosa Santa Rosa-Swift Creek Seminole (nonspecific) Seminole: Colonization Seminole: 1st War To 2nd Seminole: 2nd War To 3rd Seminole: 3rd War & After Swift Creek (nonspecific) Swift Creek, Early Swift Creek, Late Transitional Weeden Island (nonspecific) Weeden Island I Weeden Island II Prehistoric (nonspecific) Prehistoric non-ceramic Prehistoric ceramic
NON-ABORIGINAL: First Spanish 1513-99 First Spanish 1600-99 First Spanish 1700-1763 First Spanish (nonspecific) British 1763-1783 Second Spanish 1783-1821 American Territorial 1821-45 American Civil War 1861-65 American 19th Century American 20th Century American (nonspecific) African-American
Other Cultures (Choose from the list or type a response. For historic sites, give specific dates.)
1. 2. 3. 4.

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required if evaluated; use separate sheet if needed)
Given the limited and mundane nature of the artifact assemblage and lack of associated cultural features, site is not eligible for listing in the NRHP as it is located within the APE
Recommendations for Owner or SHPO Action
None

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, KEEPER - Determined eligible, NR Criteria for Evaluation, Date, and Init.

FIELD METHODS (select all that apply)

- SITE DETECTION: no field check, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, screened shovel-1/4", screened shovel-1/8", screened shovel-1/16".
SITE BOUNDARY: bounds unknown, none by recorder, literature search, informant report, remote sensing, exposed ground, posthole tests, auger tests, unscreened shovel, screened shovel, block excavations, estimate or guess.

Other methods; number, size, depth, pattern of units; screen size (attach site plan)

Twelve shovel tests, two positive; 12.5, and 25 m intervals, 50x50x100cm, 1/4 inch mesh screen

SITE DESCRIPTION

Extent/Size (m2) 250 Depth/stratigraphy of cultural deposit (describe below)

artifacts recovered from 30-70 cm; 0-30 cm of gray sand, 0-30 cm of light brown sand, 70-100 cm clay; site measures 10 m e/w by 25 m n/s

Temporal Interpretation - Components (check one): [x]single component []multiple component []uncertain

Describe each occupation in plan (refer to attached large scale map) and stratigraphically. Discuss temporal and functional interpretations:

Integrity - Overall disturbance: []none seen [x]minor []substantial []major []redeposited []destroyed-document! []unknown

Disturbances / threats / protective measures

None/Pond Site/None

Surface collection: area collected m2 # collection units Excavation: # noncontiguous blocks

ARTIFACTS

Total Artifacts # 5 Count Oestimate Surface # Subsurface # 5

COLLECTION SELECTIVITY

- []unknown [x]unselective (all artifacts) []selective (some artifacts) []mixed selectivity

SPATIAL CONTROL

- []uncollected [x]general (not by subarea) []unknown []controlled (by subarea) []variable spatial control []other (describe in comments below)

ARTIFACT CATEGORIES and DISPOSITIONS

- A - Lithics

select a disposition from the list below for each artifact category selected at left

- A - category always collected
S - some items in category collected
O - observed first hand, but not collected
R - collected and subsequently left at site
I - informant reported category present
U - unknown

Artifact Comments

1 chunk of thermally altered (TA) chert; 1, 5x3 cm TA, coral multi-purpose flake tool; 1 TA, non-decortication chert flake; 1 TA chert flake tool; 1, 5x6 cm TA chert preform

DIAGNOSTICS (type or mode, and frequency: e.g., Suwanee ppk, heat-treated chert, Deptford Check-stamped, ironstone/whiteware)

- 1. N= 4. N= 7. N=
2. N= 5. N= 8. N=
3. N= 6. N= 9. N=

ENVIRONMENT

Nearest fresh water: Type Swamp Name Distance from site (m) 75
Natural community XERIC HAMMOCK Topography Other Elevation: Min 12 m Max 14 m
Local vegetation mixed hardwoods, palm, cypress
Present land use Vacant
SCS soil series Tavares-Millhopper Soil association

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description field notes, photographs, maps File or accession #'s P15077C
2) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description artifacts File or accession #'s P15077C

RECORDER & INFORMANT INFORMATION

Informant Information: Name NA
Address / Phone / E-mail
Recorder Information: Name Lee Hutchinson Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Address / Phone / E-mail 8110 Blaikie Court, Suite A, Sarasota, FL 34240/941.379.6206; lhutchinson@aciflorida.com

Required Attachments

PHOTOCOPY OF 7.5' USGS QUAD MAP WITH SITE BOUNDARIES MARKED and SITE PLAN
Plan at 1:3,600 or larger. Show boundaries, scale, north arrow, test/collection units, landmarks and date.




PHOTOGRAPH



Draft




AERIAL MAP





USGS

Township 27 South, Range 20 East, Section 36





RESOURCE GROUP FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8 HI15170
Field Date 10-20-2021
Form Date 10-28-2021
Recorder#

[X] Original
[] Update

Consult the Guide to the Resource Group Form for additional instructions

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs).

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- [] Historic district
[] Archaeological district
[] Mixed district
[X] Building complex
[] Designed historic landscape
[] Rural historic landscape
[] Linear resource

Resource Group Name State Road Department Camp No. 8502 Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County FMSF Survey #
National Register Category (please check one): [X] building(s) [] structure [] district [] site [] object
Linear Resource Type (if applicable): [] canal [] railway [] road [] other (describe):
Ownership: [] private-profit [] private-nonprofit [] private-individual [X] private-nonspecific [] city [] county [] state [] federal [] Native American [] foreign [] unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 17040 N US 301
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In Current City Limits? [] yes [X] no [] unknown
County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Hillsborough
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
1) Township 27S Range 21E Section 4 1/4 section: [] NW [] SW [] SE [] NE Irregular-name:
2) Township Range Section 1/4 section: [] NW [] SW [] SE [] NE
3) Township Range Section 1/4 section: [] NW [] SW [] SE [] NE
4) Township Range Section 1/4 section: [] NW [] SW [] SE [] NE
USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name ZEPHYRHILLS USGS Date 1947
2) Name USGS Date
Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location)
Landgrant
Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)
Within Hillsborough County Parcel ID #U-04-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27460.0 located west of US 301 and south of the Pasco County line; two contributing resources (8HI15171 & 8HI15172) and several non-historic outbuildings/agricultural equipment.

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO criteria, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1934 [X]approximately []year listed or earlier []year listed or later
Architect/Designer: Builder:
Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing 2 # of non-contributing 5
Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1925)
1. Depression/New Deal 1930-1940 3. Modern (Post 1950)
2. WW II & Aftermath 1941-1950 4.

Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; attach supplementary sheets if needed)
See continuation sheet.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [X]FMSF record search (sites/surveys) []library research []building permits []Sanborn maps
[]FL State Archives/photo collection []city directory []occupant/owner interview []plat maps
[X]property appraiser / tax records []newspaper files []neighbor interview []Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[]cultural resource survey []historic photos []interior inspection []HABS/HAER record search
[X]other methods (specify) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? [X]yes []no []insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? []yes [X]no []insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.)
See continuation sheet.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. Law 3. Architecture 5.
2. Politics/government 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES - Include name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or other location information if no address.
4 PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETScape OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)
When submitting images, they must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

8HI15170: The State Road Department Camp No. 8502 building complex resource group located at 17040 N US 301 was established in ca. 1934. The resource group is located within Hillsborough County Parcel # U-04-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27460.0 and west of US 301 in Section 4 of Township 27 South Range 21 East (USGS Zephyrhills 1947). Two contributing resources are located within the boundaries, including a ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) likely used as living quarters and a ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style mess hall (8HI15172). Several non-historic agricultural structures are also located on the property, including approximately three pole barns and two water tanks.

The first Florida State Prison was established in Chattahoochee in 1868 at the Apalachicola Arsenal following its use during the Civil War (USPIRA 1938). The buildings served as a penitentiary for approximately ten years until it was converted into Florida State Hospital for the Insane in 1876. During the ten-year period, convict leasing became a common practice which decreased the number of inmates within the prison. Convicts were leased to turpentine camps, phosphate mines, and other locations in need of hard labor and were originally paid by the State of Florida to manage the convicts; however, lessees were required to pay for the labor following a modification to the system in 1890 (USPIRA 1938). Convict leasing continued until 1919 for state prisoners and 1923 for county convicts. Unregulated conditions and cruel treatment of prisoners gradually gained state and national attention which led to the abolishment of the leasing program altogether (Roberts 1981). The Florida Legislature created a government operated labor force in 1917 which placed prisoners under control of the State Road Department in road camps (USPIRA 1938). Similar to the division of standard prisons by state and county level based on the level of offence, the road camps were also divided by state and county level.

In Hillsborough County, a county level camp was located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa while State Road Department Camp No. 8502 – known previously as Camp No. 33 – served at a state level, albeit also in Hillsborough County (Murray 1945; Miami News 1942). State Road Department Camp No. 8502 appears to have been constructed as early as ca. 1934 to provide convict labor for the construction of State Road 156 (later known as US 301); however, the exact date could not be determined (Tampa Tribune 1934). The land upon which the camp was constructed was historically owned by Wayne Thomas, who donated several hundred acres to the south along Hillsborough River for use as Hillsborough River State Park (Tampa Times 1934). The road camp is not associated with the state park. Historic aerials and photographs reveal that the camp was equipped with several buildings, including a known commissary, mess hall, and sweatboxes (USDA 1941; Murray 1945) (**Photos 1 - 3**).




Photo 1. A ca. 1945 photograph depicting Road Camp No. 8502 with the commissary in the foreground (left) and a portion of the mess hall visible in the background (center to right) (Murray 1945).

CONTINUATION SHEET




Photo 2. A “deluxe” sweatbox containing three double solitary confinement cells at Road Camp No. 8502 (Murray 1945).



Photo 3. Aerial photograph depicting State Road Department Camp No. 8502 in 1941 (USDA 1941b). The extant contributing resources include a Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) and the mess hall (8HI15172).

Road camps, commonly referred to as “chain gangs,” could be located wherever needed by the State Road Department and were frequently used in the construction and maintenance of roads throughout the state (USPIRA 1938). As such, camps were typically located strategically in order to be close to the large project. In addition, camps were segregated by race. Data collected during a survey conducted by the Prison Industries Reorganization Administration reveals that at the time of the survey in 1938, approximately 39 African-American prisoners were located at Road Camp No. 8502 outside of Zephyrhills (USPIRA 1938). During this survey, it was determined that road camps throughout the state were relatively standard in construction and layout. The camps were comprised of “captain’s quarters and office, guard’s quarters, convict quarters, combination dining hall and kitchen with separate officers’ mess, commissary,

CONTINUATION SHEET

store-room, solitary confinement cells [commonly known as sweatboxes], garages, shops and warehouses” that were of wood frame construction with galvanized metal roofing and ventilation facilities with screening (USPIRA 1938). Typically, the convict quarters and the mess hall would be located within a stockade constructed of barbed wire fencing roughly 12 ft tall and encompassing an area approximately 120 ft by 150 ft (USPIRA 1938). The survey stated that prisoners were required to work approximately 56 hours a week, not to exceed 11 hours per day. Saturday and Sunday were free of work and Sunday was typically designated as a visitation day; however, the road camps quickly became notorious for intense labor requirements, extreme punishment, and frequent escape attempts. Escapes from Road Camp No. 8502 were common, and bloodhounds were frequently used to find the escapees. In 1942, thirteen convicts at Road Camp No. 8502 protested labor requirements and mistreatment by cutting their Achilles tendons with safety razors, resulting in temporary disability and an official inquiry into the camp authorities (Miami News 1942).

Road Camp No. 8502 was closed in ca. 1955 following the construction of a modern facility roughly two miles to the north along US 301 in Pasco County (Tampa Tribune 1955). Unlike the early facilities, the new camp housed prisoners within a “steel-reinforced concrete building” equipped with central air and heating that was “H-shaped, with the center section housing the prisoners, one wing the camp’s personnel, and the other wing the camp captain’s and clerk’s offices and quarters and mess hall for all camp personnel” (Tampa Tribune 1955). At the time of construction, 44 convicts were transferred from the outdated facility to what was considered the “most modern and best equipped of the 36 camps operated by the State Road Department” (Tampa Tribune 1955). The new camp remains in use today as the Zephyrhills Correctional Institution while the historic location is currently used for cattle grazing. In addition to the replacement of the state facility, the Hillsborough County road camp located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa was demolished and replaced with the current Hillsborough County Sheriff’s Office Orient Road Jail.

Despite the demolition of the majority of the buildings associated with State Road Department Camp No. 8502, the overall setting of the camp remains rural in nature and has not been significantly developed with the exception of approximately five non-historic agricultural buildings. The two remaining buildings – the ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) and mess hall (8HI15172) – serve as contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. These contributing resources are the only known examples of early road camps remaining in the region and have received few non-historic alterations. As such, the buildings and overall resource group are significant examples of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the buildings are examples of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and shed light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) appears eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of a prison road camp commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCES

The Miami News

- 1942 "State Pushes Probe of 13 Maimed Convicts." *The Miami News*, July 15, 1942. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

Murray, J. A.

- 1945 "Tribune Reporter Finds 'Deluxe Sweat-Box' At State Convict Camp Northeast of Tampa." *The Tampa Tribune*, September 23, 1945. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

Roberts, Leonard H.

- 1981 A History of Inmate Rehabilitation Through Education in the Florida State Correctional System: 1868 – 1980. University of Florida. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://ufdc.ufl.edu/UF00099097/00001/1x>.

The Tampa Times

- 1934 "Site Offered for New Park – 300-Acre Thomas Tract Is Recommended by State Forester." *The Tampa Times*, May 28, 1934. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

The Tampa Tribune

- 1934 "Road Crews at Work." *The Tampa Tribune*, January 19, 1934. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.
- 1955 "New Pasco Road Camp is Opened." *The Tampa Tribune*, October 4, 1955. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1941 Aerial Photograph. 2-15-41, CTT-8B-11. PALMM, Gainesville.

United States Prison Industries Reorganization Administration (USPIRA)

- 1938 The Prison Problem in Florida – A Survey by The Prison Industries Reorganization Administration. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://books.google.com/>.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Zephyrhills Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 4





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15171
Field Date 10-20-2021
Form Date 10-28-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Road Camp No. 8502 - Building 1 Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building []structure []district []site []object
Ownership: []private-profit []private-nonprofit []private-individual [X]private-nonspecific []city []county []state []federal []Native American []foreign []unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: Street Number 17040 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between)
USGS 7.5 Map Name ZEPHYRHILLS USGS Date 1947 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? []yes [X]no []unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 21E Section 4 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-04-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27460.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone []16 [X]17 Easting 380819 Northing 3116676
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1934 [X]approximately []year listed or earlier []year listed or later
Original Use Jail/Prison/Detention Center From (year): 1934 To (year): 1955
Current Use Residence, private From (year): UNK To (year): CURR
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: []yes [X]no []unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes []no []unknown Date: Nature Roofing, windows, A/C unit
Additions: [X]yes []no []unknown Date: Nature Shed roof
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Hickory Hills Land Company (1969); Two Rivers Ranch, Inc.

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? []yes []no [X]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Novelty siding 2. Board and batten 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.
Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, wood, single, paired, 1/1; SHS, metal, single, 1/1; Pivot, wood, paired, one-light

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ exposed rafter tails, wood trim around windows/doors, corner boards, enclosed ventilation openings

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) w/ ca. 1934 mess hall (8HI15172); non-historic pole barns w/ cattle pens and metal tanks

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO listing criteria, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. _____Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. Concrete Block

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

S ELEV: single wood door w/ 5 rectangular panels and wood frame screened door, beneath a shed roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

S/ENTRANCE: open, partial width, beneath a shed roof w/ squared wooden supports & partially enclosed w/ board & batten siding

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Frame Vernacular style building that appears to have been a living quarters or office for Road Camp 8502. The ventilation openings have been enclosed and windows were installed. A shed roof addition was built on the S ELEV.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient informationAppears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

See continuation sheet.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)1. Law 3. Architecture 5. _____2. Politics/government 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants IncDocument description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B

2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____

Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants IncRecorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net

(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).

Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Narrative Description: The Frame Vernacular style building at 17040 N US 301 was constructed in ca. 1934, likely used as living quarters at State Road Department Camp No. 8502. The resource is one of two contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. State Road Department Camp No. 8502 was constructed to provide convict labor for the construction of State Road 156 (later known as US 301). Historic aerials and photographs reveal that the camp was equipped with several buildings, including a known commissary, mess hall, and sweatboxes (USDA 1941; Murray 1945).

Road camps, commonly referred to as “chain gangs,” could be located wherever needed by the State Road Department and were frequently used in the construction and maintenance of roads throughout the state (USPIRA 1938). As such, camps were typically located strategically in order to be close to large project. During a survey conducted by the Prison Industries Reorganization Administration, it was determined that road camps throughout the state were relatively standard in construction and layout. The camps were comprised of “captain’s quarters and office, guard’s quarters, convict quarters, combination dining hall and kitchen with separate officers’ mess, commissary, store-room, solitary confinement cells [commonly known as sweatboxes], garages, shops and warehouses” that were of wood frame construction with galvanized metal roofing and ventilation facilities with screening (USPIRA 1938). Typically, the convict quarters and the mess hall would be located within a stockade constructed of barbed wire fencing roughly 12 ft tall and encompassing an area approximately 120 ft by 150 ft (USPIRA 1938).

Road Camp No. 8502 was closed in ca. 1955 following the construction of a modern facility roughly two miles to the north along US 301 in Pasco County (Tampa Tribune 1955). Unlike the early facilities, the new camp housed prisoners within a “steel-reinforced concrete building” equipped with central air and heating that was “H-shaped, with the center section housing the prisoners, one wing the camp’s personnel, and the other wing the camp captain’s and clerk’s offices and quarters and mess hall for all camp personnel” (Tampa Tribune 1955). At the time of construction, 44 convicts were transferred from the outdated facility to what was considered the “most modern and best equipped of the 36 camps operated by the State Road Department” (Tampa Tribune 1955). The new camp remains in use today as the Zephyrhills Correctional Institution while the historic location is currently used for cattle grazing. In addition to the replacement of the state facility, the Hillsborough County road camp located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa was demolished and replaced with the current Hillsborough County Sheriff’s Office Orient Road Jail.

Explanation of Evaluation: Despite the demolition of the majority of the buildings associated with State Road Department Camp No. 8502, the ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171) serves as a contributing resource within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. This resource, as well as the adjacent mess hall (8HI15172), are the only known examples of early road camp architecture remaining in the region. The building has received multiple alterations, including the enclosure of the original ventilation openings and the installation of windows, as well as a historic shed roof addition. However, the addition was completed while the building was utilized by the road camp. The date of window installation is unknown. The overall building remains a significant example of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the building is an extant example of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and personnel, and sheds light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, the resource appears eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of prison road camp architecture commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

CONTINUATION SHEET

REFERENCES

Murray, J. A.

- 1945 "Tribune Reporter Finds 'Deluxe Sweat-Box' At State Convict Camp Northeast of Tampa." *The Tampa Tribune*, September 23, 1945. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

The Tampa Tribune

- 1955 "New Pasco Road Camp is Opened." *The Tampa Tribune*, October 4, 1955. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

- 1941 Aerial Photograph. 2-15-41, CTT-8B-11. PALMM, Gainesville.

United States Prison Industries Reorganization Administration (USPIRA)

- 1938 The Prison Problem in Florida – A Survey by The Prison Industries Reorganization Administration. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://books.google.com/>.

Draft



PHOTOGRAPHS





AERIAL MAP





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15172
Field Date 10-20-2021
Form Date 10-28-2021
Recorder #

[X] Original
[] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Road Camp No. 8502 - Mess Hall
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County
National Register Category (please check one) [X] building [] structure [] district [] site [] object
Ownership: [] private-profit [] private-nonprofit [] private-individual [X] private-nonspecific [] city [] county [] state [] federal [] Native American [] foreign [] unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: Street Number 17040 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between)
USGS 7.5 Map Name ZEPHYRHILLS USGS Date 1947 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? [] yes [X] no [] unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 21E Section 4 1/4 section: [] NW [] SW [] SE [] NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-04-27-21-ZZZ-000003-27460.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone [] 16 [X] 17 Easting 380787 Northing 3116654
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1934 [] approximately [] year listed or earlier [X] year listed or later
Original Use Jail/Prison/Detention Center From (year): 1934 To (year): 1955
Current Use Vacant From (year): UNK To (year): CURR
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: [] yes [X] no [] unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X] yes [] no [] unknown Date: Nature Ventilation doors (some missing)
Additions: [X] yes [] no [] unknown Date: Nature Shed roof
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Hickory Hills Land Company (1969); Two Rivers Ranch, Inc.

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? [] yes [] no [X] unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Novelty siding 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:corrugated 2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. 2.
Windows (types, materials, etc.)
Rectangular ventilation openings w/ hinged wooden doors

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ exposed rafter tails, wood trim, corner boards, hinged ventilation openings, roof ventilation (gable top w/ louvers)

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) w/ ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular building (8HI15171); non-historic pole barns w/ cattle pens & metal tanks

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Includes fields for NR List Date, SHPO listing criteria, and Owner Objection.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Brick 2. _____Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. _____Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N ELEV: double wood frame doors

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Frame Vernacular style building constructed as a mess hall for Road Camp No. 8502. It was historically expanded w/ a shed roof addition on the W ELEV. The building remains highly intact despite some deterioration of siding & other materials.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient informationAppears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

See continuation sheet.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)1. Law 3. Architecture 5. _____2. Politics/government 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc1) Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B

2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____

Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants IncRecorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net

(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).

Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Narrative Description: The Frame Vernacular style building at 17040 N US 301 was constructed in ca. 1934 as a mess hall for convicts and authority at State Road Department Camp No. 8502. The resource is one of two contributing resources within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. State Road Department Camp No. 8502 was constructed to provide convict labor for the construction of State Road 156 (later known as US 301). Historic aerials and photographs reveal that the camp was equipped with several buildings, including a known commissary, mess hall, and sweatboxes (USDA 1941; Murray 1945).

Road camps, commonly referred to as “chain gangs,” could be located wherever needed by the State Road Department and were frequently used in the construction and maintenance of roads throughout the state (USPIRA 1938). As such, camps were typically located strategically in order to be close to large project. During a survey conducted by the Prison Industries Reorganization Administration, it was determined that road camps throughout the state were relatively standard in construction and layout. The camps were comprised of “captain’s quarters and office, guard’s quarters, convict quarters, combination dining hall and kitchen with separate officers’ mess, commissary, store-room, solitary confinement cells [commonly known as sweatboxes], garages, shops and warehouses” that were of wood frame construction with galvanized metal roofing and ventilation facilities with screening (USPIRA 1938). Typically, the convict quarters and the mess hall would be located within a stockade constructed of barbed wire fencing roughly 12 ft tall and encompassing an area approximately 120 ft by 150 ft (USPIRA 1938).

Road Camp No. 8502 was closed in ca. 1955 following the construction of a modern facility roughly two miles to the north along US 301 in Pasco County (Tampa Tribune 1955). Unlike the early facilities, the new camp housed prisoners within a “steel-reinforced concrete building” equipped with central air and heating that was “H-shaped, with the center section housing the prisoners, one wing the camp’s personnel, and the other wing the camp captain’s and clerk’s offices and quarters and mess hall for all camp personnel” (Tampa Tribune 1955). At the time of construction, 44 convicts were transferred from the outdated facility to what was considered the “most modern and best equipped of the 36 camps operated by the State Road Department” (Tampa Tribune 1955). The new camp remains in use today as the Zephyrhills Correctional Institution while the historic location is currently used for cattle grazing. In addition to the replacement of the state facility, the Hillsborough County road camp located at Six Mile Creek in Tampa was demolished and replaced with the current Hillsborough County Sheriff’s Office Orient Road Jail.

Despite the demolition of the majority of the buildings associated with State Road Department Camp No. 8502, the mess hall and ca. 1934 Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171), likely used as living quarters, are the only remaining buildings within the State Road Department Camp No. 8502 (8HI15170) building complex resource group. Several non-historic outbuildings and agricultural equipment are located south of the main buildings. Overall, the building is largely intact and has been minimally altered since its use as a mess hall, as is evidenced by a ca. 1945 historic photograph of the building (**Photo 1**).

CONTINUATION SHEET




Photo 1. A ca. 1945 photograph depicting Road Camp No. 8502 with the commissary in the foreground (left) and a portion of the mess hall in the background (center to right) (Murray 1945).

Explanation of Evaluation: This resource, as well as the adjacent Frame Vernacular style building (8HI15171), are the only known examples of early road camp architecture remaining in the region. The only known additions or alterations were conducted during the building's use within the road camp and include two shed roof additions to expand the mess hall. With the exception of some deteriorated siding and roofing, the overall building remains a significant example of early twentieth century penal practices in the State of Florida which brought negative attention to the state for decades, eventually leading the modernization of facilities in the state. Although simple in appearance, the building is an extant example of the rudimentary construction methods utilized to house the convicts and personnel, and sheds light on the living conditions experienced as prisoners served their sentences at the camp. As a result, the resource appears eligible for listing in the NRHP under Criteria A and C in the areas of Law, Politics/Government, and Architecture as an example of prison road camp architecture commonly used throughout the state in early- to mid-twentieth century Florida.

REFERENCES

Murray, J. A.

1945 "Tribune Reporter Finds 'Deluxe Sweat-Box' At State Convict Camp Northeast of Tampa." *The Tampa Tribune*, September 23, 1945. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

The Tampa Tribune

1955 "New Pasco Road Camp is Opened." *The Tampa Tribune*, October 4, 1955. Accessed October 27, 2021. <https://www.newspapers.com>.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1941 Aerial Photograph. 2-15-41, CTT-8B-11. PALMM, Gainesville.

United States Prison Industries Reorganization Administration (USPIRA)

1938 The Prison Problem in Florida – A Survey by The Prison Industries Reorganization Administration. Accessed October 26, 2021. <https://books.google.com/>.



PHOTOGRAPHS








AERIAL MAP





USGS Zephyrhills
Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 4





HISTORICAL BRIDGE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8 HI15445
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____
FDOT Bridge # 100951

Original
 Update

Consult *Guide to the Historical Bridge Form* for detailed instructions

Bridge Name(s) US 301 over Flint Creek Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Route(s) Carried/Feature(s) Crossed US 301/ Flint Creek
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 20E Section 35 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
Landgrant _____ Tax Parcel # _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 375124 Northing 3107416
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Year Built 1972 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Still in use? yes no restricted use (describe) _____
Prior Fords, Ferries, or Bridges at this Location

Yes

Bridge Use: original and current with dates (standard descriptions: auto, railway, pedestrian, fishing pier, abandoned)
Original & Current: carries US 301 over Flint Creek (Auto)

Ownership history
State Highway Agency

Designers/Engineers _____
Builders/Contractors _____
Text of Plaque or Inscription

Narrative History (How did bridge come to be built? How was it financed?, etc.)
The US 301 over Flint Creek Bridge was constructed in order to replace a previous bridge (details unknown) and carry US 301 over Flint Creek.

DESCRIPTION

GENERAL

Overall Bridge Design 1. Slab 2. _____

Overall Condition excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Style and Decorative Details

Common post-1945 concrete slab bridge with a solid concrete parapet w/ metal guardrails affixed to wooden posts

Tender Station Description

Alterations: Dates and Descriptions

2006: post and beam railing replaced w/ solid concrete parapet

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

SUPERSTRUCTURE

Spans: Total Number 3 Total Length(ft) 32Main Spans: Number 3 Length(ft) 96 Width(ft) 40 Roadway width(ft) 24Main Span Design slabMain Span Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

Approach Spans: Number _____ Length(ft) _____ Width(ft) _____ Roadway width(ft) _____

Approach Span Design _____

Approach Span Materials 1. _____ 2. _____

Deck Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

SUBSTRUCTURE

Abutment Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Abutment Description Sloped concrete abutments (concrete bags)Pier Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Pier Description Two concrete bents w/ approx. 5 squared piers each

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> FDOT database search | <input type="checkbox"/> Fla. Archives / photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search | <input type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other methods (specify) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use separate sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient informationPotentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, use separate sheet if needed)

This is a common post-1945 concrete slab bridge and is not a rare example of its type. Background research did not reveal significant historical associations. Bridge No. 100951 does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of historical significance (See *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants IncRecorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' TOPO MAP WITH BRIDGE LOCATION CLEARLY MARKED
- ② PHOTO OF BRIDGE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS






Draft




AERIAL MAP



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zenith, Esri, 197, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



USGS Thonotosassa
Township 27 South, Range 20 East, Section 35





HISTORICAL BRIDGE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8 HI15446
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____
FDOT Bridge # 100052

Original
 Update

Consult *Guide to the Historical Bridge Form* for detailed instructions

Bridge Name(s) US 301 over Flint Creek Relief Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Route(s) Carried/Feature(s) Crossed US 301/ Flint Creek Relief
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 20E Section 36 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
Landgrant _____ Tax Parcel # _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 375841 Northing 3107974
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Year Built 1972 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Still in use? yes no restricted use (describe) _____
Prior Fords, Ferries, or Bridges at this Location

Yes

Bridge Use: original and current with dates (standard descriptions: auto, railway, pedestrian, fishing pier, abandoned)
Original & Current: carries US 301 over Flint Creek Relief (Auto)

Ownership history
State Highway Agency

Designers/Engineers _____
Builders/Contractors _____
Text of Plaque or Inscription

Narrative History (How did bridge come to be built? How was it financed?, etc.)
The US 301 over Flint Creek Relief Bridge was constructed in order to replace a previous bridge (details unknown) and carry US 301 over the Flint Creek Relief waterway.

DESCRIPTION

GENERAL

Overall Bridge Design 1. Slab 2. _____

Overall Condition excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Style and Decorative Details

Common post-1945 concrete slab bridge with metal guardrails spanning the bridge that are affixed to metal posts

Tender Station Description

Alterations: Dates and Descriptions

2006: post and beam railing replaced w/ metal guardrails

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

SUPERSTRUCTURE

Spans: Total Number 2 Total Length(ft) 25Main Spans: Number 2 Length(ft) 50 Width(ft) 40 Roadway width(ft) 24Main Span Design slabMain Span Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

Approach Spans: Number _____ Length(ft) _____ Width(ft) _____ Roadway width(ft) _____

Approach Span Design _____

Approach Span Materials 1. _____ 2. _____

Deck Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

SUBSTRUCTURE

Abutment Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Abutment Description Sloped concrete abutments (concrete bags)Pier Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Pier Description One concrete bent w/ approx. 4 squared piers each

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> FDOT database search | <input type="checkbox"/> Fla. Archives / photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search | <input type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other methods (specify) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use separate sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

- Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient information
- Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, use separate sheet if needed)

This is a common post-1945 concrete slab bridge and is not a rare example of its type. Background research did not reveal significant historical associations. Bridge No. 100052 does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of historical significance (See *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' TOPO MAP WITH BRIDGE LOCATION CLEARLY MARKED
- ② PHOTO OF BRIDGE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS





Draft




AERIAL MAP



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zenith, Esri, 197, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



USGS Thonotosassa
Township 27 South, Range 20 East, Section 36





HISTORICAL BRIDGE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8 HI15447
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____
FDOT Bridge # 100053

Original
 Update

Consult *Guide to the Historical Bridge Form* for detailed instructions

Bridge Name(s) US 301 over Hollowman's Branch Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Route(s) Carried/Feature(s) Crossed US 301/ Hollowman's Branch
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 20E Section 36 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
Landgrant _____ Tax Parcel # _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 376675 Northing 3108674
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Year Built 1972 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Still in use? yes no restricted use (describe) _____
Prior Fords, Ferries, or Bridges at this Location

Yes

Bridge Use: original and current with dates (standard descriptions: auto, railway, pedestrian, fishing pier, abandoned)
Original & Current: carries US 301 over Hollowman's Branch (Auto)

Ownership history
State Highway Agency

Designers/Engineers _____
Builders/Contractors _____
Text of Plaque or Inscription

Narrative History (How did bridge come to be built? How was it financed?, etc.)
The US 301 over Hollowman's Branch Bridge was constructed in order to replace a previous bridge (details unknown) and carry US 301 over Hollowman's Branch

DESCRIPTION

GENERAL

Overall Bridge Design 1. Slab 2. _____

Overall Condition excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Style and Decorative Details

Common post-1945 concrete slab bridge with a solid concrete parapet w/ metal guardrails affixed to wooden posts

Tender Station Description

Alterations: Dates and Descriptions

2006: post and beam railing replaced w/ solid concrete parapet

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

SUPERSTRUCTURE

Spans: Total Number 3 Total Length(ft) 32Main Spans: Number 3 Length(ft) 96 Width(ft) 40 Roadway width(ft) 24Main Span Design slabMain Span Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

Approach Spans: Number _____ Length(ft) _____ Width(ft) _____ Roadway width(ft) _____

Approach Span Design _____

Approach Span Materials 1. _____ 2. _____

Deck Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____

SUBSTRUCTURE

Abutment Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Abutment Description Sloped concrete abutments (concrete bags)Pier Materials 1. Concrete 2. _____Pier Description Two concrete bents w/ approx. 5 squared piers each

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> FDOT database search | <input type="checkbox"/> Fla. Archives / photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> informal archaeological inspection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search | <input type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> formal archaeological survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) | <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other methods (specify) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use separate sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? yes no insufficient informationPotentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, use separate sheet if needed)

This is a common post-1945 concrete slab bridge and is not a rare example of its type.
 Background research did not reveal significant historical associations. Bridge No. 100053 does not appear to be eligible for listing in the NRHP.

Area(s) of historical significance (See *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field & analysis notes, photos, plans, other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' TOPO MAP WITH BRIDGE LOCATION CLEARLY MARKED
- ② PHOTO OF BRIDGE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc., METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zenith, Esri, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



USGS Thonotosassa
Township 27 South, Range 20 East, Section 36





RESOURCE GROUP FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
 Version 5.0 3/19

Site # HI15448
 Field Date 5-24-2023
 Form Date 6-9-2023
 Recorder# _____

Original
 Update

Consult the *Guide to the Resource Group Form* for additional instructions

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. **Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs).** National Register MPSs are treated as Site File manuscripts and are associated with the individual resources included under the MPS cover using the Site File manuscript number.

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- Historic district** (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
- Archaeological district** (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
- Mixed district** (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
- Building complex** (NR category usually "building(s)"): multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
- Designed historic landscape** (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see *National Register Bulletin #18*, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
- Rural historic landscape** (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see *National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes* for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
- Linear resource** (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of structure or historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

Resource Group Name Green Oaks MHP Multiple Listing [DHR only] _____
 Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County FMSF Survey # _____
 National Register Category (please check one): building(s) structure district site object
 Linear Resource Type (if applicable): canal railway road other (describe): _____
 Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 12073 Emory Oak Loop
 City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In Current City Limits? yes no unknown
 County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Hillsborough
 Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____
 1) Township 28S Range 20E Section 9 ¼ section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
 2) Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
 3) Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
 4) Township _____ Range _____ Section _____ ¼ section: NW SW SE NE
 USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974
 2) Name _____ USGS Date _____
 Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location) _____
 Landgrant _____
 Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)
 Located within Hillsborough County Parcel #U-09-28-20-ZZZ-000001-99550.0 at the northeast corner of the US 301 and Ohio Avenue intersection.

DHR USE ONLY	OFFICIAL EVALUATION	DHR USE ONLY
NR List Date _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)	Date _____ Init. _____ Date _____

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1969 [] approximately [] year listed or earlier [x] year listed or later
Architect/Designer: Builder:
Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing 1 # of non-contributing 31
Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1925)
1. Modern (Post 1950) 3.
2. 4.

Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; attach supplementary sheets if needed)
The Green Oaks MHP has approximately 32 lots and no known amenities. One representative mobile home (8HI15455) is located within the APE. Mobile homes are oriented N/S and E/W with the short end of the mobile home typically facing Emory Oak Loop.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

[x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (specify) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.)
The resource group is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES - Include name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or other location information if no address.
4 PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETScape OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)
When submitting images, they must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 9





RESOURCE GROUP FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site #8 HI15449
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder#

Original
Update

Consult the Guide to the Resource Group Form for additional instructions

NOTE: Use this form to document districts, landscapes, building complexes and linear resources as described in the box below. Cultural resources contributing to the Resource Group should also be documented individually at the Site File. Do not use this form for National Register multiple property submissions (MPSs).

Check ONE box that best describes the Resource Group:

- Historic district (NR category "district"): buildings and NR structures only: NO archaeological sites
Archaeological district (NR category "district"): archaeological sites only: NO buildings or NR structures
Mixed district (NR category "district"): includes more than one type of cultural resource (example: archaeological sites and buildings)
Building complex (NR category usually "building(s)": multiple buildings in close spatial and functional association
Designed historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources (see National Register Bulletin #18, page 2 for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. parks, golf courses, campuses, resorts, etc.)
Rural historic landscape (NR category usually "district" or "site"): can include multiple resources and resources not formally designed (see National Register Bulletin #30, Guidelines for Evaluating and Documenting Rural Historic Landscapes for more detailed definition and examples: e.g. farmsteads, fish camps, lumber camps, traditional ceremonial sites, etc.)
Linear resource (NR category usually "structure"): Linear resources are a special type of structure or historic landscape and can include canals, railways, roads, etc.

Resource Group Name Spanish Main MHP Multiple Listing [DHR only]
Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County FMSF Survey #
National Register Category (please check one): building(s) structure district site object
Linear Resource Type (if applicable): canal railway road other (describe):
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 12110 Spanish Main Resort Trail
City/Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In Current City Limits? yes no unknown
County or Counties (do not abbreviate) Hillsborough
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)
1) Township 28S Range 20E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name:
2) Township Range Section 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
3) Township Range Section 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
4) Township Range Section 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE
USGS 7.5' Map(s) 1) Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974
2) Name USGS Date
Plat, Aerial, or Other Map (map's name, originating office with location)
Landgrant
Verbal Description of Boundaries (description does not replace required map)
Located within Hillsborough County Parcel #U-09-28-20-ZZZ-000001-99560.0 and roughly bounded by US 301 to the west, Mango Road to the east, Florence Avenue to the north, and Ohio Avenue to the south.

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Rows include NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing, KEEPER - Determined eligible, and NR Criteria for Evaluation.

HISTORY & DESCRIPTION

Construction Year: 1973 [] approximately [] year listed or earlier [x] year listed or later

Architect/Designer: _____ Builder: _____

Total number of individual resources included in this Resource Group: # of contributing 0 # of non-contributing 60

Time period(s) of significance (choose a period from the list or type in date range(s), e.g. 1895-1925)

- 1. Modern (Post 1950) 3. _____
2. _____ 4. _____

Narrative Description (National Register Bulletin 16A pp. 33-34; attach supplementary sheets if needed)

See continuation sheet.

RESEARCH METHODS (check all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (specify) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF Manuscript # if relevant)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Potentially eligible individually for National Register of Historic Places? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Potentially eligible as contributor to a National Register district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, see National Register Bulletin 16A p. 48-49. Attach longer statement, if needed, on separate sheet.)

The resource group is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

- 1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc

Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 PHOTOCOPY OF USGS 7.5' MAP WITH DISTRICT BOUNDARY CLEARLY MARKED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP WITH RESOURCES MAPPED & LABELED
3 TABULATION OF ALL INCLUDED RESOURCES - Include name, FMSF #, contributing? Y/N, resource category, street address or other location information if no address.
4 PHOTOS OF GENERAL STREETScape OR VIEWS (Optional: aerial photos, views of typical resources)
When submitting images, they must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
Digital images must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.

CONTINUATION SHEET

Narrative Description: The ca. 1973 Spanish Main Mobile Home Park (now known as the Spanish Main RV Resort) is a building complex resource group comprised of approximately 60 non-contributing resources and 270 RV hook ups. The non-contributing resources include approximately 56 mobile homes, two clubhouses, a repair shop, and swimming pool, constructed between circa (ca.) 1973 and 1987. The ca. 1973 swimming pool is the only historic resource within the Spanish Main Mobile Home Park that is located within the APE; however, it is not accessible from the public right-of-way and as such, was not recorded. The mobile home park is comprised of a main loop, Captain Hook Circle, with four east-west streets: Long John Silver Road, Jean Laffite Avenue, Calico Jack Trail, and Henry Morgan Lane. Captain Kidd Lane runs north-south through the center of the building complex. Additional side streets include Black Beard Lane, Jose Gaspar Lane, Barbarosa Circle, and Spanish Main Resort Trail, the latter of which leads to the entrance of the mobile home park. Two large ponds are located within the building complex. The mobile homes within the building complex are oriented east-west or north-south with the short end of the mobile home facing the roadway. Some mobile homes are oriented at a slight angle.

Draft




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 9



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Copyright: © 2013 National Geographic Society, i-cubed, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zephyrhills 1947, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM
FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE
Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 HI15450
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder #

[X] Original
[] Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the Guide to Historical Structure Forms for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9701 E Fowler Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only)
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only)
National Register Category (please check one) [X]building []structure []district []site []object
Ownership: []private-profit []private-nonprofit [X]private-individual []private-nonspecific []city []county []state []federal []Native American []foreign []unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 9701 Direction E Street Name Fowler Street Type Avenue Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between)
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? []yes [X]no []unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 17 1/4 section: []NW []SW []SE []NE Irregular-name:
Tax Parcel # U-17-28-20-ZZZ-000002-07590.0 Landgrant
Subdivision Name Block Lot
UTM Coordinates: Zone []16 [X]17 Easting 369428 Northing 3103914
Other Coordinates: X: Y: Coordinate System & Datum
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park)

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1970 []approximately []year listed or earlier [X]year listed or later
Original Use Residence, private From (year): 1970 To (year): CURR
Current Use From (year): To (year):
Other Use From (year): To (year):
Moves: []yes [X]no []unknown Date: Original address
Alterations: [X]yes []no []unknown Date: Nature Roofing, windows
Additions: []yes [X]no []unknown Date: Nature
Architect (last name first): Builder (last name first):
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Jesse & Gloria Bohannon (1979); Arnold & Doris Dubose (1969); James & Julia Higdon

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? []yes []no [X]unknown Describe

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Roof Type(s) 1. Hip 2. 3.
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. 3.
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. Hip extension 2.

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, single, paired, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Minimal eave overhang w/ boxed rafter tails, concrete windowsills, shutters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic swimming pool, carport, and utility shed

Table with 3 columns: DHR USE ONLY, OFFICIAL EVALUATION, DHR USE ONLY. Contains fields for NR List Date, Owner Objection, SHPO evaluation criteria, and dates.

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N ELEV: double doors w/ paneling, beneath a hip roof extension

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

N/ENTRANCE: open, partial width, beneath a half hip roof extension w/ a turned wooden porch support

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an integrated one-car garage w/ segmental door on the E end of the N ELEV.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys) library research building permits Sanborn maps
- FL State Archives/photo collection city directory occupant/owner interview plat maps
- property appraiser / tax records newspaper files neighbor interview Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- cultural resource survey (CRAS) historic photos interior inspection HABS/HAER record search
- other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: <http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ❶ USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ❷ LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ❸ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 17





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15451**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9824 E Fowler Avenue Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 9824 Direction E Street Name Fowler Street Type Avenue Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-08-28-20-ZZZ-000001-98620.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369883 Northing 3103996
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1970 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Mobile Home/Trailer Home From (year): 1970 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Said Sinai (1998); Robert & Carleen Lester (1995); Joe Potts (1986); Linda Herndon

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style No style Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Metal 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:3V crimp 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, metal, single, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Minimal eave overhang w/ boxed rafter tails, shutters, metal skirting

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic utility shed

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

W ELEV: detail obscured from public right-of-way

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

[Empty box for porch descriptions]

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story mobile home that is highly obscured from the public right-of-way by vegetation and privacy fencing. A portion of the metal skirting has been removed, exposing a segment of the foundation.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.



PHOTOGRAPHS



Draft




AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 8





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15452**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 9812 Rockhill Road Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 9812 Direction _____ Street Name Rockhill Street Type Road Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 8 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-08-28-20-ZZZ-000001-98970.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 369859 Northing 3104124
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1971 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Residence, private From (year): 1971 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, windows, encl. carport
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Gable roof (garage)
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Jennifer Flemister (2012); Frederick Marks (2003); Howard Harris III & Keith Harris (1997); Ethel & Howard Harris, Jr. (1970); Thrifty Rents, Inc.

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Brick 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, single, 1/1; Sliding, vinyl, single, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, concrete windowsills, shutters

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic swimming pool w/ screened enclosure

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 1 Chimney Material(s): 1. Masonry 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
S ELEV: single door w/ paneling and inset light, beneath the principal roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)
S/ENTRANCE: incised, partial width, beneath the principal roof w/ squared wooden supports

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource
A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an enclosed carport on the E ELEV followed by a two-car garage (gable roof) addition.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
1. 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 8





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15453**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 11750 N US 301 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 11750 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type _____ Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-09-28-20-ZZZ-000001-99680.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 371012 Northing 3104366
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1968 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Residence, private From (year): 1968 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, encl. carport
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Gable roof extension (garage)
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
301 Holding LLC (2018); Eunice J. Land Revocable Trust (1990); Eunice & W.N. Rankin, Jr. (1974); Elvira Buzbee (1968); Southern Discount Company
Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Wood/Plywood 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Other 2. _____ 3. Sheet metal: ribbed
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____
Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, metal, single, paired, 6/6, 8/8
Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, concrete windowsills, shutters
Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Continuous 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete Block 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

S ELEV: single door (detail obscured from public right-of-way), beneath the principal roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

S/ENTRANCE: incised, partial width, beneath the principal roof w/ squared wooden supports

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ an enclosed carport on the E ELEV followed by a garage addition (gable roof extension).

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at: http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 2. 3. 4. 5. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- 1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zepherville 1977, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 9





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15454**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 11931 N US 301 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 11931 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type _____ Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-09-28-20-ZZZ-000002-00570.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 37113711 Northing 3104574
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1972 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Gas station From (year): 1972 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, affixed signage
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Isha One LLC (2007); SITA Enterprises (2001); Presto Investments (1987); Joseph Di Gerlando & Andy Castellano (1972); Joe Bartolotti

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Rectangular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Brick 2. Concrete block 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Flat 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Built-up 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
Awning, metal, single, grouped (4), 3-stacked, 4-stacked

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging signage fascia, brick windowsills

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic gas pump canopy

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

N ELEV: double metal frame full view doors, beneath the overhanging signage fascia

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ brick veneer siding on the N ELEV. The remaining ELEVs are painted concrete block.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient informationAppears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see *National Register Bulletin 15*, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants IncDocument description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B

2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____

Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants IncRecorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net

(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED

② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)

③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).

Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP



State of Florida, Maxar, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, FAO, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, Esri, USGS, Esri Community Maps Contributors, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, Esri Community Maps Contributors, City of Tampa, FDEP, © OpenStreetMap, Microsoft, Esri, HERE, Garmin, SafeGraph, GeoTechnologies, Inc, METI/NASA, USGS, EPA, NPS, US Census Bureau, USDA, State of Florida, Maxar, Microsoft, University of South Florida, City of Tampa, FDEP, Esri, HERE, Garmin, FAO, NOAA, USGS, EPA, NPS, USGS Zephyrus 1977, Thonotosassa 1943, Plant City West 1975.



USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 9





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15455**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) Green Oaks MHP (Bldg 1) Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Address: 12073 Emory Oak Loop
Street Number Direction Street Name Street Type Suffix Direction
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name THONOTOSASSA USGS Date 1974 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 28S Range 20E Section 9 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-09-28-20-ZZZ-000001-99550.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 371513 Northing 3104783
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1969 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Mobile Home/Trailer Home From (year): 1969 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Shed roof (porch)
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Unavailable

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style No style Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Vinyl 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:3V crimp 2. Sheet metal:standing seam 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, metal, paired, grouped (3), 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Double wide plan, eave projection, bay windows, metal hitches, metal skirting

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Green Oaks MHP (8HI15448)

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date	SHPO - Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date	_____	Init.	_____
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER - Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date	_____		
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Piers 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Obscured 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

S ELEV: single door, beneath a shed roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

S/ENTRANCE: open, partial width, beneath a shed roof w/ metal supports and screening

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A double wide mobile home with exposed metal hitches on the W ELEV. The foundation is obscured by metal skirting.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
- other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
- 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable).
 Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Thonotosassa
Township 28 South, Range 20 East, Section 9





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15456**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 14016 N US 301 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 14016 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type _____ Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name PLANT CITY WEST USGS Date 1975 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 21E Section 30 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-30-27-21-ZZZ-000003-35330.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 3777284 Northing 3109720
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1974 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Residence, private From (year): 1974 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, siding, windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Shed roof
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Aline C. Brochu (2001); Charles & Nellie Mae Kirkland (1973); Guy C. Avery

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Stucco 2. Wood/Plywood 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Composition shingles 2. Composition roll 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, single, 4/4, 6/6

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, projecting eave end, minimal architectural detail

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Unknown 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Obscured 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
 E ELEV: single door w/ paneling, beneath a gable roof awning w/ wood brackets

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource
 A one-story Frame Vernacular style building w/ a shed roof addition on the N ELEV.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FMSF record search (sites/surveys) | <input type="checkbox"/> library research | <input type="checkbox"/> building permits | <input type="checkbox"/> Sanborn maps |
| <input type="checkbox"/> FL State Archives/photo collection | <input type="checkbox"/> city directory | <input type="checkbox"/> occupant/owner interview | <input type="checkbox"/> plat maps |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> property appraiser / tax records | <input type="checkbox"/> newspaper files | <input type="checkbox"/> neighbor interview | <input type="checkbox"/> Public Lands Survey (DEP) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> cultural resource survey (CRAS) | <input type="checkbox"/> historic photos | <input type="checkbox"/> interior inspection | <input type="checkbox"/> HABS/HAER record search |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> other methods (describe) <u>USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)</u> | | | |

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
 Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
 The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
 1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
 Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 1) Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments	① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
	② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
	③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.	




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Plant City West
Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 30





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15457**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 14212 N US 301 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 14212 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type _____ Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name PLANT CITY WEST USGS Date 1975 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 21E Section 30 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-30-27-21-ZZZ-000003-35480.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 3777404 Northing 3109977
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1972 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Residence, private From (year): 1972 To (year): CURR
Current Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, siding, windows
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature _____
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
William & Sandra Etter (1985); Nancy & Fred Bryan, Sr. (1979); Guy C. Avery

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Frame Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Vinyl 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Sheet metal:3V crimp 2. _____ 3. _____
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, vinyl, single, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, window trim

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic utility sheds

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. 2.
Structural System(s): 1. Wood frame 2. 3.
Foundation Type(s): 1. Unknown 2.
Foundation Material(s): 1. Obscured 2.

Main Entrance (stylistic details)

E ELEV: obscured from public right-of-way, beneath a shed roof

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

E/ENTRANCE: open, partial width, beneath a shed roof w/ metal supports and screening

Condition (overall resource condition): [] excellent [x] good [] fair [] deteriorated [] ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource

A one-story Frame Vernacular style building that is highly obscured from the public right-of-way by surrounding vegetation and set back.

Archaeological Remains [] Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- [x] FMSF record search (sites/surveys) [] library research [] building permits [] Sanborn maps
[] FL State Archives/photo collection [] city directory [] occupant/owner interview [] plat maps
[x] property appraiser / tax records [] newspaper files [] neighbor interview [] Public Lands Survey (DEP)
[] cultural resource survey (CRAS) [] historic photos [] interior inspection [] HABS/HAER record search
[x] other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)

Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
http://palmm.fcla.edu/

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information
Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? [] yes [x] no [] insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)

The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)

1. 3. 5.
2. 4. 6.

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents

- 1) Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
2) Document type Maintaining organization
Document description File or accession #'s

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 / aciflorida@comcast.net
(address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments
1 USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
2 LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
3 PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE
When submitting an image, it must be included in digital AND hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS






AERIAL MAP





USGS Plant City West
Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 30





HISTORICAL STRUCTURE FORM

FLORIDA MASTER SITE FILE

Version 5.0 3/19

Site#8 **HI15458**
Field Date 5-24-2023
Form Date 6-9-2023
Recorder # _____

Original
 Update

Shaded Fields represent the minimum acceptable level of documentation.
Consult the *Guide to Historical Structure Forms* for detailed instructions.

Site Name(s) (address if none) 14240 N US 301 Multiple Listing (DHR only) _____
Survey Project Name CRAS US 301 Addendum, Hillsborough County Survey # (DHR only) _____
National Register Category (please check one) building structure district site object
Ownership: private-profit private-nonprofit private-individual private-nonspecific city county state federal Native American foreign unknown

LOCATION & MAPPING

Street Number 14240 Direction N Street Name US 301 Street Type _____ Suffix Direction _____
Address: _____
Cross Streets (nearest / between) _____
USGS 7.5 Map Name PLANT CITY WEST USGS Date 1975 Plat or Other Map _____
City / Town (within 3 miles) Thonotosassa In City Limits? yes no unknown County Hillsborough
Township 27S Range 21E Section 30 1/4 section: NW SW SE NE Irregular-name: _____
Tax Parcel # U-30-27-21-ZZZ-000003-34910.0 Landgrant _____
Subdivision Name _____ Block _____ Lot _____
UTM Coordinates: Zone 16 17 Easting 377545 Northing 3110090
Other Coordinates: X: _____ Y: _____ Coordinate System & Datum _____
Name of Public Tract (e.g., park) _____

HISTORY

Construction Year: 1973 approximately year listed or earlier year listed or later
Original Use Unknown From (year): 1973 To (year): UNK
Current Use Office From (year): UNK To (year): CURR
Other Use _____ From (year): _____ To (year): _____
Moves: yes no unknown Date: _____ Original address _____
Alterations: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Roofing, canopy
Additions: yes no unknown Date: _____ Nature Shed roof (N & W ELEV)
Architect (last name first): _____ Builder (last name first): _____
Ownership History (especially original owner, dates, profession, etc.)
Michael & Earnestine Carney (1971); Guy C. Avery

Is the Resource Affected by a Local Preservation Ordinance? yes no unknown Describe _____

DESCRIPTION

Style Masonry Vernacular Exterior Plan Irregular Number of Stories 1
Exterior Fabric(s) 1. Concrete block 2. Wood/Plywood 3. _____
Roof Type(s) 1. Gable 2. Shed 3. _____
Roof Material(s) 1. Other 2. Sheet metal: corrugated 3. Sheet metal: ribbed
Roof secondary strucs. (dormers etc.) 1. _____ 2. _____

Windows (types, materials, etc.)
SHS, metal, single, 1/1

Distinguishing Architectural Features (exterior or interior ornaments)
Overhanging eaves w/ boxed rafter tails, concrete windowsills, metal clamshell awning, affixed signage

Ancillary Features / Outbuildings (record outbuildings, major landscape features; use continuation sheet if needed.)
Non-historic carport; inaccessible historic mobile home

DHR USE ONLY		OFFICIAL EVALUATION		DHR USE ONLY	
NR List Date _____	SHPO – Appears to meet criteria for NR listing: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no <input type="checkbox"/> insufficient info	Date _____	Init. _____		
<input type="checkbox"/> Owner Objection	KEEPER – Determined eligible: <input type="checkbox"/> yes <input type="checkbox"/> no	Date _____			
	NR Criteria for Evaluation: <input type="checkbox"/> a <input type="checkbox"/> b <input type="checkbox"/> c <input type="checkbox"/> d (see <i>National Register Bulletin 15</i> , p. 2)				

DESCRIPTION (continued)

Chimney: No. 0 Chimney Material(s): 1. _____ 2. _____
 Structural System(s): 1. Concrete block 2. _____ 3. _____
 Foundation Type(s): 1. Slab 2. _____
 Foundation Material(s): 1. Concrete, Generic 2. _____

Main Entrance (stylistic details)
 E ELEV: single door w/ paneling, inset fanlight, and storm door, beneath a clamshell awning

Porch Descriptions (types, locations, roof types, etc.)

Condition (overall resource condition): excellent good fair deteriorated ruinous

Narrative Description of Resource
 A one-story Masonry Vernacular style building w/ a shed roof addition on the N & W ELEV's and a wood frame canopy attached to the W ELEV.

Archaeological Remains _____ Check if Archaeological Form Completed

RESEARCH METHODS (select all that apply)

- FMSF record search (sites/surveys)
- FL State Archives/photo collection
- property appraiser / tax records
- cultural resource survey (CRAS)
- other methods (describe) USDA historic aerial photographs (PALMM)
- library research
- city directory
- newspaper files
- historic photos
- building permits
- occupant/owner interview
- neighbor interview
- interior inspection
- Sanborn maps
- plat maps
- Public Lands Survey (DEP)
- HABS/HAER record search

Bibliographic References (give FMSF manuscript # if relevant, use continuation sheet if needed)
 Publication of Archival Library and Museum Materials (PALMM), accessible online at:
<http://palmm.fcla.edu/>

OPINION OF RESOURCE SIGNIFICANCE

Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing individually? yes no insufficient information
 Appears to meet the criteria for National Register listing as part of a district? yes no insufficient information

Explanation of Evaluation (required, whether significant or not; use separate sheet if needed)
 The building is not a significant embodiment of a type, period, or method of construction; and has no known significant historic associations.

Area(s) of Historical Significance (see National Register Bulletin 15, p. 8 for categories: e.g. "architecture", "ethnic heritage", "community planning & development", etc.)
 1. _____ 3. _____ 5. _____
 2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____

DOCUMENTATION

Accessible Documentation Not Filed with the Site File - including field notes, analysis notes, photos, plans and other important documents
 Document type All materials at one location Maintaining organization Archaeological Consultants Inc
 1) Document description Files, photos, research, documents File or accession #'s P21083B
 2) Document type _____ Maintaining organization _____
 Document description _____ File or accession #'s _____

RECORDER INFORMATION

Recorder Name Savannah Y. Finch Affiliation Archaeological Consultants Inc
 Recorder Contact Information 8110 Blaikie Court, Ste. A / Sarasota, FL/ 34240 /aciflorida@comcast.net
 (address / phone / fax / e-mail)

Required Attachments

- ① USGS 7.5' MAP WITH STRUCTURE LOCATION CLEARLY INDICATED
- ② LARGE SCALE STREET, PLAT OR PARCEL MAP (available from most property appraiser web sites)
- ③ PHOTO OF MAIN FACADE, DIGITAL IMAGE FILE

When submitting an image, it must be included in digital **AND** hard copy format (plain paper grayscale acceptable). Digital image must be at least 1600 x 1200 pixels, 24-bit color, jpeg or tiff.




PHOTOGRAPHS








AERIAL MAP





USGS Plant City West
Township 27 South, Range 21 East, Section 30



Draft

APPENDIX D
Typical Section between Stacy Road and SR 56




Figure 1. Existing US 301 Typical Section




Figure 2. Proposed Rural Typical Section

Draft

APPENDIX E
Survey Log

Ent D (FMSF only) _____



Survey Log Sheet

Florida Master Site File
Version 5.0 3/19

Survey # (FMSF only) _____

Consult *Guide to the Survey Log Sheet* for detailed instructions.

Manuscript Information

Survey Project (name and project phase)

CRAS Addendum Update SMF and FPC Sites & HRSU, US 301 from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Phase I

Report Title (exactly as on title page)

CRAS Addendum Update, Preferred SMF & FPC Sites and Historic Resource Survey Update, US 301 (SR 41) from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida WPI Segment Number 255796-1

Report Authors (as on title page)

1. ACI 3. _____
2. _____ 4. _____

Publication Year 2023

Number of Pages in Report (do not include site forms) 53

Publication Information (Give series, number in series, publisher and city. For article or chapter, cite page numbers. Use the style of *American Antiquity*.)

P15077C 2023 ACI, Sarasota

Supervisors of Fieldwork (even if same as author) Names Hutchinson, Lee

Affiliation of Fieldworkers: Organization Archaeological Consultants Inc City Sarasota

Key Words/Phrases (Don't use county name, or common words like *archaeology, structure, survey, architecture, etc.*)

1. State Road Department 3. _____ 5. _____ 7. _____
2. _____ 4. _____ 6. _____ 8. _____

Survey Sponsors (corporation, government unit, organization, or person funding fieldwork)

Name _____ Organization Florida Dept of Transportation - District 7

Address/Phone/E-mail 11201 N. McKinley Dr., Tampa, FL 33612

Recorder of Log Sheet Lee Hutchinson

Date Log Sheet Completed 6-28-2023

Is this survey or project a continuation of a previous project? No Yes: Previous survey #s (FMSF only)

Project Area Mapping

Counties (select every county in which field survey was done; attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Hillsborough 3. _____ 5. _____
2. Pasco 4. _____ 6. _____

USGS 1:24,000 Map Names/Year of Latest Revision (attach additional sheet if necessary)

1. Name THONOTOSASSA Year 1974 4. Name _____ Year _____
2. Name PLANT CITY WEST Year 2003 5. Name _____ Year _____
3. Name ZEPHYRHILLS Year 2013 6. Name _____ Year _____

Field Dates and Project Area Description

Fieldwork Dates: Start 4-14-2023 End 4-14-2023 Total Area Surveyed (fill in one) _____ hectares 956.00 acres

Number of Distinct Tracts or Areas Surveyed 5

If Corridor (fill in one for each) Width: _____ meters 200 feet Length: _____ kilometers _____ miles

Research and Field Methods

Types of Survey (select all that apply): [X]archaeological [X]architectural [X]historical/archival []underwater []damage assessment []monitoring report []other(describe): _____

Scope/Intensity/Procedures

background research, surface reconnaissance, subsurface testing at 12.5, 25 m, & judgmental 50cm x 50cm diameter, 1 m deep, 6.4 mm mesh screen; shovel tests recorded on GPS unit; historic reconnaissance; photos taken; report prepared

Preliminary Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)

[]Florida Archives (Gray Building) []library research- local public [X]local property or tax records [X]other historic maps []LIDAR []Florida Photo Archives (Gray Building) []library-special collection [X]newspaper files [X]soils maps or data []other remote sensing [X]Site File property search [X]Public Lands Survey (maps at DEP) [X]literature search [X]windshield survey [X]Site File survey search []local informant(s) []Sanborn Insurance maps [X]aerial photography []other (describe): _____

Archaeological Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)

[]Check here if NO archaeological methods were used. []surface collection, controlled []shovel test-other screen size []block excavation (at least 2x2 m) []metal detector []surface collection, uncontrolled []water screen []soil resistivity []other remote sensing [X]shovel test-1/4" screen []posthole tests []magnetometer [X]pedestrian survey []shovel test-1/8" screen []auger tests []side scan sonar []unknown []shovel test 1/16" screen []coring []ground penetrating radar (GPR) []shovel test-unscreened []test excavation (at least 1x2 m) []LIDAR []other (describe): _____

Historical/Architectural Methods (select as many as apply to the project as a whole)

[]Check here if NO historical/architectural methods were used. []building permits []demolition permits []neighbor interview []subdivision maps []commercial permits [X]windshield survey []occupant interview []tax records []interior documentation []local property records []occupation permits []unknown []other (describe): _____

Survey Results

Resource Significance Evaluated? [X]Yes []No Count of Previously Recorded Resources 0 Count of Newly Recorded Resources 18


List Previously Recorded Site ID#s with Site File Forms Completed (attach additional pages if necessary)

List Newly Recorded Site ID#s (attach additional pages if necessary) HI15394; HI15170, HI15171, HI15172, HI15445 - HI15458

Site Forms Used: []Site File Paper Forms [X]Site File PDF Forms

REQUIRED: Attach Map of Survey or Project Area Boundary

SHPO USE ONLY Origin of Report: []872 []Public Lands []UW []1A32 # _____ []Academic []Contract []Avocational []Grant Project # _____ []Compliance Review: CRAT # _____ Type of Document: []Archaeological Survey []Historical/Architectural Survey []Marine Survey []Cell Tower CRAS []Monitoring Report []Overview []Excavation Report []Multi-Site Excavation Report []Structure Detailed Report []Library, Hist. or Archival Doc []Desktop Analysis []MPS []MRA []TG []Other: _____ Document Destination: Plottable Projects Plotability: _____



CRAS Addendum Update Preferred SMF & FPC Sites

US 301 (SR 41) from Fowler Avenue to SR 56 Hillsborough & Pasco Counties, Florida

WPI Segment Number 255796-1

Thonotosassa, Fla., 1943, 1974, 2013; Plant City West, Fla., 2003; Zephyrhills, Fla., 2013
Township, Range, and Section coordinates for APE.

SMF/FPC Site	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	SECTIONS
SMF 3A	28 South	20 East	9
SMF 6C	27 South	20 East	36
SMF 7A, SMF 9C, SMF 10B	27 South	21 East	17, 20 and 30